

ZIPCODE 02920 (Cranston)

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02920 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS					
Total population all ages			37,199	81,196	1,056,611
Median age of females			45.0	41.8	41.6
Median age of males			37.9	38.8	38.1
Population 60 years or older as % of total population			25.3%	24.0%	23.0%
Total population 60 years or older			9,419	19,457	243,523
Population 65 years or older as % of total population			19.7%	17.0%	16.5%
Total population 65 years or older			7,341	13,807	174,210
% 65-74 years	*	*	49.4%	56.3%	55.6%
% 75-84 years			29.4%	25.6%	28.9%
% 85 years or older		*	21.2%	18.1%	15.5%
% 65+ population who are female			56.8%	58.1%	57.2%
% 85+ population who are female			68.7%	72.1%	69.7%
% White			94.5%	92.1%	92.0%
% African American			2.1%	2.0%	3.2%
% Asian			2.2%	3.4%	1.6%
% Other race			1.2%	2.4%	3.3%
% Hispanic/Latino			3.0%	4.4%	4.9%
% married			49.7%	52.1%	51.3%
% divorced/separated			12.4%	12.8%	15.7%
% widowed			28.7%	27.6%	25.0%
% never married			9.2%	7.4%	8.0%
% with less than high school education			19.4%	18.2%	19.1%
% with high school or some college		*	58.3%	56.7%	52.9%
% with college degree			12.3%	12.8%	14.2%
% with graduate or professional degree		*	9.9%	12.2%	13.9%
% population who speak only English at home			83.4%	82.6%	82.9%
% population who are veterans of military service			16.3%	16.1%	19.0%
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate			3.9%	4.0%	4.4%
ECONOMIC					
% 60+ receiving food benefits in last year			13.7%	12.5%	15.0%
% 65+ employed in last year			18.8%	23.9%	21.9%
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in last year			8.7%	8.2%	9.5%
Median household income			\$57,389	\$66,283	\$63,296
% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000			22.0%	20.0%	24.3%
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999		*	41.1%	39.0%	32.2%
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999			25.5%	23.2%	24.8%
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+		*	11.4%	17.8%	18.7%
% 65+ who own a motor vehicle			84.1%	86.9%	85.0%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02920 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
HOUSING					
% 65+ population who live alone			32.2%	30.9%	31.4%
% of grandparents raising grandchildren			0.6%	0.7%	1.0%
% of grandparents who live with grandchildren			2.7%	2.6%	3.2%
Average household size (all ages)			2.4	2.5	2.5
Median house value			\$227,800	\$228,300	\$249,800
% 60+ own home			70.0%	74.0%	70.3%
% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage			46.1%	51.1%	48.7%
% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing			46.0%	42.3%	39.2%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing		*	29.2%	30.2%	27.6%
% 65+ moved within same county in last year			5.0%	3.8%	3.6%
% 65+ moved from different county in last year			0.7%	0.6%	1.0%
% 65+ moved from different state in last year			0.4%	1.0%	1.1%
% of vacant homes in community		*	6.4%	7.5%	12.1%
CHRONIC DISEASE					
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias		W	15.5%	13.8%	13.1%
% 65+ with anemia	W	W	57.3%	53.0%	50.0%
% 65+ with asthma			16.0%	15.4%	14.9%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation			15.7%	14.6%	15.0%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)		W	48.0%	43.9%	42.2%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)			11.4%	12.0%	11.1%
% 65+ with cataract	W		68.3%	64.8%	67.5%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease		W	36.1%	34.1%	30.7%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease		W	24.8%	23.4%	22.9%
% 65+ with clinically diagnosed obesity		W	33.9%	34.2%	29.3%
% 65+ with colon cancer			2.9%	3.1%	2.7%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure		W	25.1%	23.4%	21.6%
% 65+ with diabetes		W	39.9%	38.2%	34.7%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)			2.0%	2.0%	1.9%
% 65+ with epilepsy		W	4.6%	4.1%	2.9%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fatigue			26.3%	25.8%	25.4%
% 65+ with glaucoma		W	28.9%	27.7%	27.0%
% 65+ ever had a heart attack			6.3%	5.8%	5.8%
% 65+ had hip fracture		W	4.3%	3.6%	3.4%
% 65+ with high cholesterol		W	81.3%	80.8%	79.1%
% 65+ with hypertension		W	80.7%	79.9%	78.0%
% 65+ with hypothyroidism		W	24.8%	22.5%	22.4%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease		W	46.1%	43.4%	41.8%
% 65+ with leukemias and lymphomas			3.3%	3.1%	2.7%
% 65+ with liver disease			11.2%	10.1%	9.9%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02920 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% 65+ with lung cancer			2.7%	2.3%	2.1%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache		W	7.5%	6.7%	6.1%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis		W	59.2%	56.5%	56.2%
% 65+ with osteoporosis		W	22.5%	20.7%	19.6%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease		W	32.2%	30.1%	25.6%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer			9.0%	8.4%	8.1%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)			12.9%	13.1%	13.4%
% 65+ with stroke			13.3%	12.5%	11.9%
% 65+ with traumatic brain injury			1.5%	1.2%	1.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions	W	W	69.7%	66.7%	63.8%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions			6.1%	6.5%	7.0%
BEHAVIORAL / MENTAL HEALTH					
% 65+ with opioid use disorder			1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
% 65+ with substance use disorder			6.1%	6.0%	7.0%
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder			10.3%	10.2%	10.8%
% 65+ with depression		W	35.7%	34.0%	32.9%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder		W	34.6%	32.5%	29.8%
% 65+ with bipolar disorder			5.0%	4.5%	4.1%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder			1.5%	1.7%	1.7%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder			4.3%	3.8%	3.8%
% 65+ with personality disorder			3.6%	3.4%	2.8%
LIVING WITH DISABILITY					
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty			15.0%	13.2%	13.9%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment		W	20.7%	19.2%	16.4%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty			5.1%	4.5%	5.5%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment			1.1%	1.1%	1.1%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty			10.7%	8.6%	8.2%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty		W	27.3%	21.5%	21.0%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairment			4.5%	4.4%	3.8%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty			12.3%	10.0%	8.0%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty		W	21.0%	15.9%	14.3%
ACCESS TO CARE / SERVICE UTILIZATION					
% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid			14.1%	13.2%	13.8%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees		*	46.6%	45.9%	43.8%
# physician visits per year		*	9.2	9.0	8.4
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually			669.5	605.3	620.6
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually		*	53.5	52.2	52.2
# home health visits annually			4.0	3.7	3.5
# durable medical equipment claims annually			1.8	1.8	1.7
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually		*	322.0	302.2	286.5

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02920 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)			19.1%	19.8%	17.4%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually			113.7	103.0	98.8
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports			4.7%	4.2%	4.2%
% 65+ hospice users			3.6%	3.4%	3.5%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents			54.1%	50.4%	53.0%
Median hospice days per hospice user (65+, deceased)			11.0	11.0	11.0
Median hospice payment (Medicare + other) per hospice user			\$3,988.15	\$4,016.30	\$3,870.97

TECHNICAL NOTES

*See our technical report (online at <https://healthyagingdatareports.org>) for more information on data sources, measures, and methodology. For most indicators the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms “Better” and “Worse” to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are not due to chance. “Better” is used where a higher/lower value has positive implications for the health of older residents. “Worse” is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older people, and when the implication is unclear, we use an *. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed we used a hierarchical approach to reporting.

Data Sources:

- Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey 2014-2018).
- Economic & Housing: ACS (2014-2018).
- Chronic Disease: The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (2016-2017).
- Behavioral / Mental Health: CMS (2016-2017).
- Living with Disability: CMS (2016-2017) and ACS (2014-2018).
- Caregiving: BRFSS (2012-2017), memorycaresdirectory.com (2020), and the Alzheimer’s Assoc. (May 2018).
- Access to Care / Service Utilization: CMS (2017).

Healthy Aging Data Report Team: Many people contributed to this research. The 2020 research team: Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Frank Porell PhD, ShuangShuang Wang PhD, Haowei Wang PhD, Xiao Joyce Wang, Richard Chunga, Taylor Jansen, & Shu Xu from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Tufts Health Plan Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

Questions? Beth.dugan@umb.edu