Brentwood (Rockingham)

Brentwood is a town located in southeastern New Hampshire and is home to many of the county's support services. There are 551 residents age 65 or older. Compared to state average rates, older residents fared better on some healthy aging indicators with lower rates of tooth loss, tobacco use disorders, diabetes, and endometrial cancer. However, they had higher rates of hip fracture, high cholesterol, depression, anxiety/bipolar disorders, schizophrenia, Alzheimer's disease, stroke, COPD, hypertension, heart attack, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, peripheral vascular disease, arthritis, osteoporosis, breast and prostate cancers, benign prostatic hyperplasia, hypothyroidism, anemia, chronic kidney disease, liver diseases, migraine, cataracts, pressure ulcers, and hearing impairment. They are more likely to take the health promotion steps of following the CDC guidelines for both muscle-strengthening and aerobic activity, and having an annual dental exam. Community resources to support healthy aging are 13 primary care providers, 2 nursing homes, 10 home health agencies, an assisted living site, a senior center, a public library, and access to broadband.



POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE ¹	COMMUNITY ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
Total population all ages		4,686	1,327,503
Population 60 years or older as % of total population		17.9%	22.7%
Total population 60 years or older		839	301,630
Population 65 years or older as % of total population		11.8%	15.8%
Total population 65 years or older		551	210,385
% 65-74 years		50.1%	58.5%
% 75-84 years		29.8%	28.6%
% 85 years or older		20.1%	12.9%
Gender (65+ population)			
% female		58.3%	54.7%
Race/Ethnicity (65+ population)			
% White		99.6%	97.7%
% African American		0.0%	0.5%
% Asian		0.0%	0.9%
% Other		0.4%	0.9%
% Hispanic/Latino		0.0%	0.9%
Marital Status (65+ population)			
% married		59.3%	58.5%
% divorced/separated		9.3%	14.0%
% widowed		24.9%	22.9%
% never married		6.5%	4.6%
Education (65+ population)			
% with less than high school education		10.5%	12.3%
% with high school or some college		64.2%	57.1%
% with college degree		25.2%	30.6%
% of 65+ population living alone		24.4%	26.1%
% of 65+ population who speak only English at home		94.2%	91.3%
% of 65+ population who are veterans of military service		28.5%	24.8%
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate		4.1%	4.1%



HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE ¹	COMMUNITY ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
Geographic Migration (65+ population) in the past 12 months			
% moved within same county		5.6%	3.6%
% moved from different county in New Hampshire		1.1%	1.0%
% moved from different state		1.8%	1.7%
WELLNESS & PREVENTION			
% 60+ with any physical activity within last month	В	84.5%	74.5%
% 60+ met CDC guidelines for muscle-strengthening activity	В	37.2%	26.0%
% 60+ met CDC guidelines for aerobic physical activity		60.6%	58.6%
% 60+ met CDC guidelines for both types of physical activities	В	29.6%	19.9%
% 60+ getting recommended hours of sleep		65.1%	66.4%
% 60+ injured in a fall within last 12 months		9.0%	10.4%
% 65+ had hip fracture	W	4.4%	3.3%
% 60+ with self-reported fair or poor health status		12.8%	16.5%
% 60+ with 15+ physically unhealthy days last month		9.6%	12.5%
% 60+ with physical exam/check-up in past year		90.9%	86.5%
% 60+ met CDC preventive health screening goals		45.0%	40.3%
% 60+ flu shot past year		59.3%	59.3%
% 65+ with pneumonia vaccine		79.5%	77.8%
% 60+ with cholesterol screening		96.9%	95.3%
% 60+ women with a mammogram within last 2 years		80.6%	79.3%
% 60+ with colorectal cancer screening		82.4%	77.0%
% 60+ with HIV test		12.6%	13.5%
% 60+ current smokers		6.4%	8.1%
Oral Health			
% 60+ with loss of 6 or more teeth	В	20.4%	29.0%
% 60+ with annual dental exam	В	85.1%	75.7%
# of dentists per 100,000 persons (all ages) (county)		72	72
NUTRITION/DIET			
% 60+ with 5 or more servings of fruit or vegetables per day		26.9%	20.2%
% 60+ self-reported obese		21.1%	27.2%
% 65+ clinically diagnosed obese		15.3%	16.7%
% 65+ with high cholesterol	W	74.8%	72.2%
% 60+ excessive drinking		9.5%	9.2%
% 65+ with poor supermarket access		0.0%	28.4%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE ¹	COMMUNITY ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH			
% 60+ with 15+ days poor mental health last month		5.8%	6.9%
% 65+ with depression	W	32.3%	28.8%
% 65+ with anxiety disorders	W	24.6%	21.9%
% 65+ with bipolar disorders	W	4.3%	3.1%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder		1.7%	1.4%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorders	W	6.1%	4.9%
% 65+ with personality disorders		1.3%	1.1%
# opioid deaths (all ages) (county)		276	1,279
% 65+ with substance use disorders (drug use +/or alcohol abuse)		5.9%	5.5%
% 65+ with tobacco use disorders	В	8.2%	10.4%
CHRONIC DISEASE			
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias	W	14.8%	12.0%
% 65+ with diabetes	В	26.1%	28.2%
% 65+ with stroke	W	12.7%	10.8%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	W	23.0%	20.5%
% 65+ with asthma		13.3%	13.0%
% 65+ with hypertension	W	72.5%	70.2%
% 65+ ever had a heart attack	W	5.6%	4.5%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease	W	38.0%	34.3%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure	W	20.9%	17.7%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation	W	18.7%	14.4%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease	W	18.6%	14.7%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis/rheumatoid arthritis	W	53.3%	49.1%
% 65+ with osteoporosis	W	21.9%	17.4%
% 65+ with leukemias and lymphomas		1.8%	2.0%
% 65+ with lung cancer		1.9%	1.6%
% 65+ with colon cancer		2.5%	2.4%
% 65+ women with breast cancer	W	12.0%	9.8%
% 65+ women with endometrial cancer	В	1.1%	1.7%
% 65+ men with prostate cancer	W	13.6%	11.5%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia	W	41.5%	36.8%
% 65+ with HIV/AIDS		0.04%	0.05%
% 65+ with hypothyroidism	W	23.0%	20.8%
% 65+ with anemia	W	42.6%	37.3%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease	W	24.8%	22.3%
% 65+ with liver diseases	W	9.1%	6.9%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fatigue		19.1%	18.6%

% 65+ with epilepsy 2.5% 2.1 % 65+ with raumatic brain injury 1.5% 1.1 % 65+ with autism spectrum disorders NVA 0.03 % 65+ with glaucoma 23.1% 22.9 % 65+ with cataract W 63.6% 61.2 % 65+ with opersure ulcer or chronic ulcer W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with 4 - (out of 15) chronic conditions W 60.4% 54.4 % 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY 2.5% 15.0 % 65+ with elf-reported hearing difficulty 1.2.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 1.1% 0.9 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 1.3	HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE ¹	COMMUNITY ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% 65+ with traumatic brain injury 1.5% 1.1 % 65+ with quatism spectrum disorders N/A 0.03 % 65+ with glaucoma 23.1% 22.9 % 65+ with cataract W 63.6% 61.2 % 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions W 60.4% 54.4 % 65+ with 0-chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY % 55.4 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported orgnition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 1.1% 0.9 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 1.2.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 1.3 2.5% % 65+ with self-reported self-care	% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache	W	5.2%	4.0%
% 65+ with autism spectrum disorders N/A 0.03 % 65+ with glaucoma 23.1% 22.9 % 65+ with cataract W 63.6% 61.2 % 65+ with the cataract W 63.6% 61.2 % 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY 8.5% 10.3 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment W 19.6% 14.4 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment 1.1% 0.9 46.4 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 7.4% 6.9 46.9 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 46.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 3.5% 3.2 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 17.8% 11.3 4.64 4.65 4.64 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 <t< td=""><td>% 65+ with epilepsy</td><td></td><td>2.5%</td><td>2.1%</td></t<>	% 65+ with epilepsy		2.5%	2.1%
% 65+ with glaucoma 23.1% 22.9 % 65+ with cataract W 63.6% 61.2 % 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions W 60.4% 54.4 % 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment W 19.6% 14.4 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 4.6 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 4.6 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 4.6 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 1.1% 0.9 4.6 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 4.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 4.6 4.6 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty 1.1.3 3.2 5.5 3.2 5.6 4.6<	% 65+ with traumatic brain injury		1.5%	1.1%
% 65+ with cataract W 63.6% 61.2 % 65+ with cataract W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY ************************************	% 65+ with autism spectrum disorders		N/A	0.03%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer W 9.3% 7.1 % 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions W 60.4% 54.4 % 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 7.4% 7.5 Medicare managed care enrollees 8.0% 7.9 % Medicare managed care enrollees 8.0% 7.9 % 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost 2.6% 5.4 % of primary care provi	% 65+ with glaucoma		23.1%	22.9%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions W 60.4% 54.4 % 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty 17.8% 11.3 ACCESS TO CARE 10 10 Medicare (65+ population) 7.4% 7.5 % 60+ with a regular doctor 97.4% 95.8 % 60+ with di not see doctor when needed due to cost 2.6% 5.4 % of primary care providers within 5 miles 0 2 13 % of one health agencies 10	% 65+ with cataract	W	63.6%	61.2%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions W 8.5% 10.3 LIVING WITH DISABILITY 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 19.6% 14.4 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty 17.8% 11.3 ACCESS TO CARE 10 11.3 Medicare (65+ population) 7.9 5.5 % 60+ with a regular doctor 97.4% 95.8 % 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost 2.6% 5.4 # of primary care providers within 5 miles 13 2.9%	% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer	W	9.3%	7.1%
LIVING WITH DISABILITY% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty12.5%15.0% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairmentW19.6%14.4% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty1.9%5.2% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment1.1%0.9% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty7.4%6.9% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty12.5%18.8% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty12.5%18.8% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments3.5%3.2% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles02% of nursing homes within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles02# of one health agencies02# of one health agencies02# of one health agencies02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions	W	60.4%	54.4%
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty 12.5% 15.0 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment W 19.6% 14.4 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment 1.1% 0.9 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments 3.5% 3.2 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty 17.8% 11.3 ACCESS TO CARE Medicare managed care enrollees 8.0% 7.9 % dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid 7.4% 95.8 % 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost 2.6% 5.4 % of nursing homes within 5 miles 0 2 13 % of nursing homes within 5 miles 0 2 13	% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions	W	8.5%	10.3%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment W 19.6% 14.4 % 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty 1.9% 5.2 % 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment 1.1% 0.9 % 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty 7.4% 6.9 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty 12.5% 18.8 % 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty 6.1% 5.6 % 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty 17.8% 11.3 ACCESS TO CARE 11.3 Medicare (65+ population) 7.4% 7.5 % dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid 7.4% 7.5 % 60+ whot did not see doctor when needed due to cost 2.6% 5.4 % of primary care providers within 5 miles 0 2 % of nursing homes within 5 miles 2 1 % of nursing homes within 5 miles 0 2 % of nursing homes within 5 miles 0 2 % of norursing homes within 5 miles 0	LIVING WITH DISABILITY			
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty1.9%5.2% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment1.1%0.9% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty7.4%6.9% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty12.5%18.8% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments3.5%3.2% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CARE11.311.3Medicare (65+ population)7.4%7.5% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4% of primary care providers within 5 miles132.94% of home health agencies104% of orumunity health centers02% of adult day health centers02% of memory cafes02	% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty		12.5%	15.0%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment1.1%0.9% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty7.4%6.9% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty12.5%18.8% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments3.5%3.2% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)7.4%% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132.9%# of nursing homes within 5 miles102# of nursing homes within 5 miles02# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment	W	19.6%	14.4%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty7.4%6.9% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty12.5%18.8% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments3.5%3.2% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of nursing homes within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty		1.9%	5.2%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty12.5%18.8% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments3.5%3.2% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)*% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132.90# of nursing homes within 5 miles104# of nome health agencies02# of adult day health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment		1.1%	0.9%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments3.5%3.2% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,90# of nursing homes within 5 miles102# of nome health agencies102# of adult day health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty		7.4%	6.9%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty6.1%5.6% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)8.0%7.9% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,90# of hospitals within 5 miles23# of home health agencies104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty		12.5%	18.8%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty17.8%11.3ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,94# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles23# of nome health agencies104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairments		3.5%	3.2%
ACCESS TO CAREMedicare (65+ population)% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,90# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of nursing homes within 5 miles104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty		6.1%	5.6%
Medicare (65+ population)% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles02# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of home health agencies104# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty		17.8%	11.3%
% Medicare managed care enrollees8.0%7.9% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,90# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of home health agencies104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	ACCESS TO CARE			
% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid7.4%7.5% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,90# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of nursing homes within 5 miles104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	Medicare (65+ population)			
% 60+ with a regular doctor97.4%95.8% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,96# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of nursing homes within 5 miles104# of home health agencies104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% Medicare managed care enrollees		8.0%	7.9%
% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost2.6%5.4# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,96# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of home health agencies104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid		7.4%	7.5%
# of primary care providers within 5 miles132,96# of hospitals within 5 miles02# of nursing homes within 5 miles27# of nome health agencies104# of community health centers02# of adult day health centers02# of memory cafes02	% 60+ with a regular doctor		97.4%	95.8%
# of hospitals within 5 miles0# of nursing homes within 5 miles2# of nursing homes within 5 miles2# of home health agencies10# of community health centers0# of adult day health centers0# of memory cafes0	% 60+ who did not see doctor when needed due to cost		2.6%	5.4%
# of nursing homes within 5 miles2# of home health agencies10# of home health agencies0# of community health centers0# of adult day health centers0# of memory cafes0	# of primary care providers within 5 miles		13	2,961
# of home health agencies10# of community health centers0# of adult day health centers0# of memory cafes0	# of hospitals within 5 miles		0	26
# of community health centers0# of adult day health centers0# of memory cafes0	# of nursing homes within 5 miles		2	74
# of adult day health centers0# of memory cafes0	# of home health agencies		10	49
# of memory cafes 0	# of community health centers		0	22
	# of adult day health centers		0	21
# of dementia-related support groups 0	# of memory cafes		0	12
	# of dementia-related support groups		0	14

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE ¹	COMMUNITY ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
SERVICE UTILIZATION			
Physician visits per year	*	7.8	6.3
Emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years per year		574	572
Part D monthly prescription fills per person per year		50.7	49.1
Home health visits per year		2.4	2.5
Durable medical equipment claims per year		2.0	2.0
Inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years per year		220	237
Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)	*	11.2%	15.8%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years per year		75	76
# skilled nursing home Medicare beds/1000 persons 65+ years		0	33
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports	*	4.9%	3.7%
COMMUNITY VARIABLES & CIVIC ENGAGEMENT			
AARP Age-Friendly efforts in community		Not yet	Yes
# of senior centers		1	44
Air pollution: annual # of unhealthy days for 65+ (county)		2	N/A
% of grandparents raising grandchildren		0.6%	0.8%
% of grandparents who live with grandchildren		1.2%	2.5%
# of assisted living sites		1	134
% of vacant homes in community		0.4%	16.0%
# of universities and community colleges		0	41
# of public libraries		1	234
# of YMCAs		0	12
% in county with access to broadband (all ages)		99.0%	93.0%
% 60+ who used Internet in last month		86.3%	77.6%
Voter participation rate in 2018 election (age 18+)		61.5%	54.7%
SAFETY & TRANSPORTATION			
Violent crime rate /100,000 persons		56	207
Homicide rate /100,000 persons (county)		1	1
# firearm fatalities (county)		92	586
Property crime rate /100,000 persons		758	2,012
% 65+ who own a motor vehicle		83.6%	91.0%
% 60+ who always drive wearing a seatbelt		83.7%	77.1%
# of fatal crashes involving adult age 60+/town		0	151
# of fatal crashes involving adult age 60+/county		31	151

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE ¹	COMMUNITY ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
ECONOMIC & HOUSING VARIABLES			
% 65+ with income below the poverty line past year		9.8%	5.4%
% 60+ receiving food stamps past year		3.6%	5.7%
% 65+ employed past year		23.2%	24.8%
Household income (65+ householder)			
% households with annual income < \$20,000		16.1%	18.2%
% households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999		47.4%	36.5%
% households with annual income > \$50,000		36.5%	45.3%
% 60+ own home		86.7%	79.9%
% 60+ have mortgage on home		45.3%	35.3%
% 65+ households spend >35% of income on housing (renter)		8.9%	8.7%
% 65+ households spend >35% of income on housing (owner)		42.8%	21.2%
COST OF LIVING	\$ COUNTY ESTIMATE	\$ STATE ESTIMATE	RATIO (COUNTY/STATE)
Elder Economic Security Standard Index			
Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health	\$25,536	\$25,284	1.01
Single, renter, good health	\$26,712	\$26,400	1.01
Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health	\$37,092	\$37,128	1.00
Couple, renter, good health	\$38,268	\$38,244	1.00

TECHNICAL NOTES

*See our technical report (online at https://healthyagingdatareports.org/) for more information on data sources, measures, methodology, and margin of errors. For most indicators the reported community and state values are both estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms "better" and "worse" to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are <u>not</u> due to chance. "Better" is used where a higher/lower value has positive implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older residents. "Worse" is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications to report the health of older people, and when the implication is unclear, we use an *. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed we used a hierarchical approach to reporting. When possible we report estimates for 244 geographic units (i.e., every NH city/town an

Data Sources:

- Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey 2012-2016).
- Wellness & Prevention: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (2011-2016).
- Nutrition/Diet: BRFSS (2011-2016), CMS (2014-2015), and the U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Atlas (2017).
- Behavioral Health: BRFSS (2011-2016), CMS (2014-2015), CDC Wonder website (2014-2016).
- Chronic Disease: The Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other from CMS (2014-2015).
- Disability: CMS (2014-2015) for the clinical measures, and ACS (2012-2016) for the self-reported disability.
- Access to Care: BRFSS (2011-2016), CMS (2015), Medicare.gov (June-July 2018), the NH Division of Public Health Services (2018), National Adult Day Services Association (2018), memorycaredirectory.com (2018), and the Alzheimer's Association (July 2018).
- Service Utilization: CMS (2015), and Medicare Nursing Home Compare (December 2018).
- Community & Civic Engagement: AARP (2018 update; https://www.aarp.org/livable-communities/network-age-friendly-communities/info-2014/member-list.html), the Aging & Disability Resource Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Air Compare (2016), assistedlivingfacilities.org, ACS (2012-2016), the NH Department of Business and Economic Affairs Division of Travel and Tourism Development (August 2018), NH YMCA (July 2018), the Federal Communications Commission (2016), BRFSS (2011-2016), and the NH Secretary of State.
- Safety & Transportation: U.S. Department of Justice Federal Bureau of Investigation (August 2017), the County Health Rankings (2018), BRFSS (2011-2016), ACS (2012-2016), and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (2011-2015).
- Economic & Housing, Cost of Living: ACS (2012-2016) and the Center for Social and Demographic Research on Aging at the University of Massachusetts Boston (August 2017).

<u>Healthy Aging Data Report Team</u>. Many people contributed to this research. The 2018 research team: Beth Dugan PhD, Frank Porell PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, ShuangShuang Wang PhD, Bon Kim, Natalie Pitheckoff, Haowei Wang, Sae Hwang Han, Richard Chunga, & Shiva Prisad from the Gerontology Institute in the McCormack Graduate School of Policy and Global Studies at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Tufts Health Plan Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance. Our Advisory Committees helped to make the Data Report best address the needs of New Hampshire. We thank JSI for their continued partnership. Questions? Beth.dugan@umb.edu Update: 05/2019