

ZIPCODE 02861 (Pawtucket)

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02861 ESTIMATE	Pawtucket ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS					
Total population all ages			25,044	71,756	1,056,611
Median age of females			42.3	38.6	41.6
Median age of males			38.1	35.4	38.1
Population 60 years or older as % of total population			22.0%	18.9%	23.0%
Total population 60 years or older			5,510	13,575	243,523
Population 65 years or older as % of total population			14.5%	12.7%	16.5%
Total population 65 years or older			3,639	9,097	174,210
% 65-74 years			53.8%	56.9%	55.6%
% 75-84 years			29.5%	30.1%	28.9%
% 85 years or older			16.7%	13.0%	15.5%
% 65+ population who are female			56.0%	55.4%	57.2%
% 85+ population who are female			73.6%	72.0%	69.7%
% White	*		91.5%	81.9%	92.0%
% African American	*		1.6%	6.3%	3.2%
% Asian			3.3%	2.5%	1.6%
% Other race			3.6%	9.2%	3.3%
% Hispanic/Latino	*		2.9%	10.9%	4.9%
% married			48.6%	45.1%	51.3%
% divorced/separated			14.4%	18.7%	15.7%
% widowed			25.1%	24.0%	25.0%
% never married			11.9%	12.2%	8.0%
% with less than high school education		*	26.3%	32.0%	19.1%
% with high school or some college			57.4%	51.1%	52.9%
% with college degree		*	10.1%	9.5%	14.2%
% with graduate or professional degree		*	6.3%	7.5%	13.9%
% population who speak only English at home	*	*	73.8%	63.3%	82.9%
% population who are veterans of military service			20.1%	16.4%	19.0%
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate			4.8%	4.7%	4.4%
ECONOMIC					
% 60+ receiving food benefits in last year			15.1%	23.0%	15.0%
% 65+ employed in last year			20.6%	20.2%	21.9%
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in last year			10.9%	15.2%	9.5%
Median household income			\$58,795	\$46,938	\$63,296
% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000	*		19.2%	30.4%	24.3%
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999		*	40.5%	37.4%	32.2%
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999			29.6%	23.1%	24.8%
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+		*	10.8%	9.1%	18.7%
% 65+ who own a motor vehicle	*	*	88.4%	79.0%	85.0%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS

	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02861 ESTIMATE	Pawtucket ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
HOUSING					
% 65+ population who live alone			31.4%	35.9%	31.4%
% of grandparents raising grandchildren			2.1%	1.4%	1.0%
% of grandparents who live with grandchildren			4.0%	4.0%	3.2%
Average household size (all ages)			2.5	2.5	2.5
Median house value			\$184,300	\$181,900	\$249,800
% 60+ own home	*	*	73.7%	59.0%	70.3%
% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage			49.5%	50.7%	48.7%
% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing			43.3%	39.8%	39.2%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing		*	24.4%	30.4%	27.6%
% 65+ moved within same county in last year			4.0%	3.9%	3.6%
% 65+ moved from different county in last year			0.4%	0.5%	1.0%
% 65+ moved from different state in last year			0.5%	1.3%	1.1%
% of vacant homes in community		*	9.2%	10.4%	12.1%
CHRONIC DISEASE					
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias			14.0%	14.9%	13.1%
% 65+ with anemia			51.5%	50.3%	50.0%
% 65+ with asthma		W	17.5%	17.3%	14.9%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation		W	17.7%	15.2%	15.0%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)			42.3%	40.9%	42.2%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)			11.6%	10.4%	11.1%
% 65+ with cataract		B	61.5%	61.1%	67.5%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease			33.4%	33.6%	30.7%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease			23.6%	23.6%	22.9%
% 65+ with clinically diagnosed obesity		W	33.6%	32.4%	29.3%
% 65+ with colon cancer			3.4%	2.9%	2.7%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure		W	25.2%	23.5%	21.6%
% 65+ with diabetes		W	39.0%	39.2%	34.7%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)			1.8%	1.7%	1.9%
% 65+ with epilepsy			4.2%	3.9%	2.9%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fatigue			23.4%	23.2%	25.4%
% 65+ with glaucoma		B	23.3%	23.3%	27.0%
% 65+ ever had a heart attack		W	7.4%	7.2%	5.8%
% 65+ had hip fracture			4.2%	3.7%	3.4%
% 65+ with high cholesterol			78.9%	78.4%	79.1%
% 65+ with hypertension		W	81.9%	81.0%	78.0%
% 65+ with hypothyroidism			22.2%	22.0%	22.4%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease			43.3%	42.1%	41.8%
% 65+ with leukemias and lymphomas		B	1.7%	2.1%	2.7%
% 65+ with liver disease			9.6%	9.4%	9.9%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02861 ESTIMATE	Pawtucket ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% 65+ with lung cancer			2.3%	2.1%	2.1%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache		B	4.6%	5.5%	6.1%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis			56.3%	53.8%	56.2%
% 65+ with osteoporosis			19.1%	18.5%	19.6%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease			28.1%	26.6%	25.6%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer			8.2%	8.1%	8.1%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)			11.7%	11.8%	13.4%
% 65+ with stroke			13.2%	12.7%	11.9%
% 65+ with traumatic brain injury			1.2%	1.2%	1.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions		W	68.3%	67.3%	63.8%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions			7.9%	8.0%	7.0%
BEHAVIORAL / MENTAL HEALTH					
% 65+ with opioid use disorder			2.2%	2.4%	1.9%
% 65+ with substance use disorder			7.6%	8.2%	7.0%
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder		W	13.2%	12.6%	10.8%
% 65+ with depression			33.4%	35.7%	32.9%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder			30.3%	30.5%	29.8%
% 65+ with bipolar disorder			4.1%	5.0%	4.1%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder			1.9%	2.1%	1.7%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder			3.8%	5.5%	3.8%
% 65+ with personality disorder			2.1%	2.8%	2.8%
LIVING WITH DISABILITY					
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty		W	19.4%	15.7%	13.9%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment		B	14.0%	13.5%	16.4%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty			7.2%	8.4%	5.5%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment			1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty			5.5%	8.1%	8.2%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty			19.8%	23.8%	21.0%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairment			4.8%	4.0%	3.8%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty		B	4.7%	7.7%	8.0%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty			11.7%	16.3%	14.3%
ACCESS TO CARE / SERVICE UTILIZATION					
% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid	*		12.7%	24.6%	13.8%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees		*	49.5%	51.4%	43.8%
# physician visits per year			8.0	7.4	8.4
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually			665.1	683.1	620.6
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually		*	55.1	56.4	52.2
# home health visits annually			4.2	3.8	3.5
# durable medical equipment claims annually			1.9	1.9	1.7
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually			321.3	307.3	286.5

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02861 ESTIMATE	Pawtucket ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)			17.3%	16.5%	17.4%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually			96.3	101.2	98.8
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports	*	*	3.3%	5.5%	4.2%
% 65+ hospice users			4.3%	3.9%	3.5%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents			57.0%	56.7%	53.0%
Median hospice days per hospice user (65+, deceased)			14.0	13.0	11.0
Median hospice payment (Medicare + other) per hospice user			\$4,887.02	\$4,461.10	\$3,870.97

TECHNICAL NOTES

*See our technical report (online at <https://healthyagingdatareports.org>) for more information on data sources, measures, and methodology. For most indicators the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms “Better” and “Worse” to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are not due to chance. “Better” is used where a higher/lower value has positive implications for the health of older residents. “Worse” is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older people, and when the implication is unclear, we use an *. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed we used a hierarchical approach to reporting.

Data Sources:

- Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey 2014-2018).
- Economic & Housing: ACS (2014-2018).
- Chronic Disease: The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (2016-2017).
- Behavioral / Mental Health: CMS (2016-2017).
- Living with Disability: CMS (2016-2017) and ACS (2014-2018).
- Caregiving: BRFSS (2012-2017), memorycaresdirectory.com (2020), and the Alzheimer’s Assoc. (May 2018).
- Access to Care / Service Utilization: CMS (2017).

Healthy Aging Data Report Team: Many people contributed to this research. The 2020 research team: Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Frank Porell PhD, ShuangShuang Wang PhD, Haowei Wang PhD, Xiao Joyce Wang, Richard Chunga, Taylor Jansen, & Shu Xu from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Tufts Health Plan Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

Questions? Beth.dugan@umb.edu