

ZIPCODE 02910 (Cranston)

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02910 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS					
Total population all ages			22,143	81,196	1,056,611
Median age of females			36.2	41.8	41.6
Median age of males			39.2	38.8	38.1
Population 60 years or older as % of total population			20.8%	24.0%	23.0%
Total population 60 years or older			4,607	19,457	243,523
Population 65 years or older as % of total population			13.4%	17.0%	16.5%
Total population 65 years or older			2,971	13,807	174,210
% 65-74 years		*	65.9%	56.3%	55.6%
% 75-84 years		*	21.2%	25.6%	28.9%
% 85 years or older			12.9%	18.1%	15.5%
% 65+ population who are female			61.4%	58.1%	57.2%
% 85+ population who are female			72.8%	72.1%	69.7%
% White			89.2%	92.1%	92.0%
% African American			3.4%	2.0%	3.2%
% Asian			6.2%	3.4%	1.6%
% Other race			1.2%	2.4%	3.3%
% Hispanic/Latino			4.6%	4.4%	4.9%
% married		*	58.0%	52.1%	51.3%
% divorced/separated		*	9.5%	12.8%	15.7%
% widowed			26.5%	27.6%	25.0%
% never married			6.0%	7.4%	8.0%
% with less than high school education			17.5%	18.2%	19.1%
% with high school or some college			61.1%	56.7%	52.9%
% with college degree			9.2%	12.8%	14.2%
% with graduate or professional degree			12.3%	12.2%	13.9%
% population who speak only English at home			84.7%	82.6%	82.9%
% population who are veterans of military service			16.7%	16.1%	19.0%
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate			4.2%	4.0%	4.4%
ECONOMIC					
% 60+ receiving food benefits in last year			13.7%	12.5%	15.0%
% 65+ employed in last year		*	30.6%	23.9%	21.9%
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in last year			10.9%	8.2%	9.5%
Median household income			\$65,726	\$66,283	\$63,296
% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000			20.6%	20.0%	24.3%
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999			35.7%	39.0%	32.2%
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999			20.9%	23.2%	24.8%
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+			22.9%	17.8%	18.7%
% 65+ who own a motor vehicle			87.6%	86.9%	85.0%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02910 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
HOUSING					
% 65+ population who live alone			24.6%	30.9%	31.4%
% of grandparents raising grandchildren			1.4%	0.7%	1.0%
% of grandparents who live with grandchildren			2.6%	2.6%	3.2%
Average household size (all ages)			2.7	2.5	2.5
Median house value			\$187,300	\$228,300	\$249,800
% 60+ own home	*	*	82.0%	74.0%	70.3%
% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage			53.9%	51.1%	48.7%
% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing			33.6%	42.3%	39.2%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing		*	30.3%	30.2%	27.6%
% 65+ moved within same county in last year			2.6%	3.8%	3.6%
% 65+ moved from different county in last year			0.0%	0.6%	1.0%
% 65+ moved from different state in last year			0.8%	1.0%	1.1%
% of vacant homes in community			9.7%	7.5%	12.1%
CHRONIC DISEASE					
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias			11.2%	13.8%	13.1%
% 65+ with anemia	B		47.7%	53.0%	50.0%
% 65+ with asthma			16.5%	15.4%	14.9%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation		B	12.3%	14.6%	15.0%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)	B	B	37.1%	43.9%	42.2%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)			12.1%	12.0%	11.1%
% 65+ with cataract		B	60.7%	64.8%	67.5%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease			32.5%	34.1%	30.7%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease			23.4%	23.4%	22.9%
% 65+ with clinically diagnosed obesity		W	33.7%	34.2%	29.3%
% 65+ with colon cancer			2.9%	3.1%	2.7%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure			23.2%	23.4%	21.6%
% 65+ with diabetes			37.7%	38.2%	34.7%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)			2.5%	2.0%	1.9%
% 65+ with epilepsy			3.9%	4.1%	2.9%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fatigue			22.8%	25.8%	25.4%
% 65+ with glaucoma			25.7%	27.7%	27.0%
% 65+ ever had a heart attack			5.5%	5.8%	5.8%
% 65+ had hip fracture			2.8%	3.6%	3.4%
% 65+ with high cholesterol			78.0%	80.8%	79.1%
% 65+ with hypertension			79.6%	79.9%	78.0%
% 65+ with hypothyroidism			21.1%	22.5%	22.4%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease			39.4%	43.4%	41.8%
% 65+ with leukemias and lymphomas			3.2%	3.1%	2.7%
% 65+ with liver disease		B	7.9%	10.1%	9.9%

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02910 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% 65+ with lung cancer			2.1%	2.3%	2.1%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache			5.2%	6.7%	6.1%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis	B	B	51.5%	56.5%	56.2%
% 65+ with osteoporosis			18.2%	20.7%	19.6%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease			28.7%	30.1%	25.6%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer			8.8%	8.4%	8.1%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)			12.8%	13.1%	13.4%
% 65+ with stroke			11.9%	12.5%	11.9%
% 65+ with traumatic brain injury			1.2%	1.2%	1.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions			63.8%	66.7%	63.8%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions			8.4%	6.5%	7.0%
BEHAVIORAL / MENTAL HEALTH					
% 65+ with opioid use disorder			2.0%	1.9%	1.9%
% 65+ with substance use disorder			5.9%	6.0%	7.0%
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder			11.2%	10.2%	10.8%
% 65+ with depression			32.7%	34.0%	32.9%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder			30.1%	32.5%	29.8%
% 65+ with bipolar disorder			3.7%	4.5%	4.1%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder			1.9%	1.7%	1.7%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder			3.6%	3.8%	3.8%
% 65+ with personality disorder			3.1%	3.4%	2.8%
LIVING WITH DISABILITY					
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty			12.1%	13.2%	13.9%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of deafness or hearing impairment	B		15.0%	19.2%	16.4%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty			6.2%	4.5%	5.5%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of blindness or visual impairment			1.1%	1.1%	1.1%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty			7.3%	8.6%	8.2%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty			18.8%	21.5%	21.0%
% 65+ with clinical diagnosis of mobility impairment			4.3%	4.4%	3.8%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty			8.0%	10.0%	8.0%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty			12.5%	15.9%	14.3%
ACCESS TO CARE / SERVICE UTILIZATION					
% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid			13.3%	13.2%	13.8%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees		*	46.9%	45.9%	43.8%
# physician visits per year			8.3	9.0	8.4
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually			527.6	605.3	620.6
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually			51.8	52.2	52.2
# home health visits annually			3.3	3.7	3.5
# durable medical equipment claims annually			1.8	1.8	1.7
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually			282.0	302.2	286.5

HEALTHY AGING INDICATORS	BETTER / WORSE CITY RATE	BETTER / WORSE STATE RATE	ZIPCODE 02910 ESTIMATE	Cranston ESTIMATE	STATE ESTIMATE
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)			20.2%	19.8%	17.4%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually			89.7	103.0	98.8
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports		*	3.3%	4.2%	4.2%
% 65+ hospice users			3.1%	3.4%	3.5%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents		*	41.0%	50.4%	53.0%
Median hospice days per hospice user (65+, deceased)			9.0	11.0	11.0
Median hospice payment (Medicare + other) per hospice user			\$3,613.70	\$4,016.30	\$3,870.97

TECHNICAL NOTES

*See our technical report (online at <https://healthyagingdatareports.org>) for more information on data sources, measures, and methodology. For most indicators the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms “Better” and “Worse” to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are not due to chance. “Better” is used where a higher/lower value has positive implications for the health of older residents. “Worse” is used where a higher/lower score has negative implications for the health of older people, and when the implication is unclear, we use an *. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed we used a hierarchical approach to reporting.

Data Sources:

- Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey 2014-2018).
- Economic & Housing: ACS (2014-2018).
- Chronic Disease: The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (2016-2017).
- Behavioral / Mental Health: CMS (2016-2017).
- Living with Disability: CMS (2016-2017) and ACS (2014-2018).
- Caregiving: BRFSS (2012-2017), memorycaresdirectory.com (2020), and the Alzheimer’s Assoc. (May 2018).
- Access to Care / Service Utilization: CMS (2017).

Healthy Aging Data Report Team: Many people contributed to this research. The 2020 research team: Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Frank Porell PhD, ShuangShuang Wang PhD, Haowei Wang PhD, Xiao Joyce Wang, Richard Chunga, Taylor Jansen, & Shu Xu from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Tufts Health Plan Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

Questions? Beth.dugan@umb.edu