


## Parkman, Sangerville, Wellington (Piscataquis)

The communities of Parkman, Sangerville, and Wellington are located in Piscataquis County. Collectively, these areas are home to 501 residents aged 65 and older. Compared to state average rates, older residents have a lower rate of prostate cancer. However, they have a higher rate of PTSD. Older residents in Piscataquis County varied in obtaining preventive health screenings: residents 18 and older got annual physical exams (79.3%) and annual dental exams (60.8%), while 40.8% of men and 38.5% of women 65 and older completed all CDC recommended vaccinations and cancer screenings. A community resource to support healthy aging is their public library.



POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
Total population (all ages)		2,277	1,366,949
Population 60 years or older as % of total population		30.9%	29.4%
Total population 60 years and older		704	402,170
Population 65 years or older as % of total population		22.0%	21.5%
Total population 65 years and older		501	293,488
% 65-74 years		59.1%	59.9%
% 75-84 years		30.9%	28.5%
% 85 years or older		10.0%	11.6%
% 65+ population who are female		48.1%	54.3%
% 85+ population who are female		42.0%	64.8%
Race and ethnicity of the population 65+			
% White		94.2%	96.6%
% African American		0.0%	0.3%
% Asian		0.0%	0.5%
% Other race(s)		5.8%	2.5%
% Hispanic		4.0%	0.7%
# 55+ who are Native American / Alaskan		4	1,794
Marital status of the population 65+			
% married		49.3%	57.0%
% divorced/separated		14.2%	16.9%
% widowed		33.7%	20.6%
% never married		2.8%	5.6%
Education of the population 65+			
% with less than high school education		12.8%	7.6%
% with high school or some college		70.5%	59.0%
% with college degree	*	8.4%	18.3%
% with graduate or professional degree		8.4%	15.1%
% 65+ population who speak only English at home		95.4%	92.7%
% 65+ population who are veterans of military service		18.0%	18.4%

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
<b>HOUSING</b>			
% 65+ population who live alone		40.7%	28.3%
Average household size (all ages)		2.1	2.3
Median house value (all ages)	*	\$134,433	\$244,800
% 60+ own home		78.4%	80.4%
% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage		28.0%	40.6%
% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing		7.1%	35.2%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing		25.8%	20.4%
% grandparents who live with grandchildren		1.9%	1.8%
# of assisted living sites		0	21
<b>SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH</b>			
<b>COST OF LIVING</b>			
Elder Index			
Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County)	0.94	\$22,980	\$24,528
Single, renter, good health (County)	0.88	\$25,188	\$28,704
Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County)	0.97	\$36,312	\$37,380
Couple, renter, good health (County)	0.93	\$38,520	\$41,556
<b>ECONOMIC</b>			
% 60+ receiving food stamps in past year		17.1%	10.8%
% 65+ employed in past year		10.6%	18.8%
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year		15.0%	9.0%
Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+	*	\$27,448	\$49,828
% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000		34.6%	17.1%
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999		36.9%	33.1%
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999		19.4%	29.4%
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+		9.2%	20.4%
<b>WELLNESS</b>			
% 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County)		31.5%	NA
% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)		33.1%	NA
% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)		18.8%	NA
% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)		14.5%	NA
<b>COMMUNITY</b>			
Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)		NA	NA
AARP Age-Friendly Communities		Not yet	Yes
# of public universities and community colleges		0	42
# of public libraries		1	261
# of senior centers		0	27
# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)		0	1
% households with a smartphone (all ages)	*	71.4%	82.5%
% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)		11.5%	6.4%
% households without a computer (all ages)		14.9%	7.1%
% households with access to Broadband (all ages)		77.7%	87.3%
% households without access to the Internet (all ages)	*	21.7%	12.3%

SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
<b>COMMUNITY</b>			
Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)		72.0%	74.1%
Homicide rate/100,000 persons (County)		NA	1.5
# firearm fatalities (all ages) (County)		15	770
# 65+ deaths by suicide (County)		NA	290
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate		4.8%	4.2%
<b>TRANSPORTATION</b>			
% householders 65+ who own a motor vehicle		95.0%	91.0%
# fatal crashes involving adult age 60+ (County)		4	251
AllTransit Score		NA	0.51
<b>HEALTH OUTCOMES</b>			
<b>FALLS</b>			
% 65+ with hip fracture		3.4%	3.1%
<b>PREVENTION</b>			
% 18+ with physical exam/check-up in past year (County)		79.3%	NA
% mammography use among women age 50-74 Years (County)		70.6%	NA
% 50-75 with fecal occult blood test, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy (County)		78.4%	NA
% 65+ men up to date on preventive services (County)		40.8%	NA
% 65+ women up to date on preventive services (County)		38.5%	NA
<b>NUTRITION &amp; DIET</b>			
% 18+ with obesity (County)		36.9%	NA
% 65+ with high cholesterol		62.8%	69.5%
% 18+ with cholesterol screening (County)		65.4%	NA
<b>ORAL HEALTH</b>			
% 18+ with annual dental exam (County)		60.8%	NA
# dentists per 100,000 persons (all ages) (County)		23.3	47.2
% 65+ with complete tooth loss (County)		21.6%	NA
<b>CHRONIC DISEASE</b>			
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias		11.3%	11.0%
% 65+ with anemia		40.0%	38.1%
% 65+ with asthma		12.7%	11.8%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation		17.9%	14.3%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)		38.6%	35.2%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)		8.6%	9.6%
% 65+ with cataract		64.5%	60.4%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease		30.9%	29.4%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease		23.7%	20.9%
% 65+ with colon cancer		1.8%	2.1%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure		20.4%	18.1%
% 65+ with diabetes		21.3%	26.2%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)		2.2%	2.2%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain, and fatigue		33.6%	34.8%
% 65+ with glaucoma		21.9%	23.3%

HEALTH OUTCOMES	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
<b>CHRONIC DISEASE</b>			
% 65+ ever had a heart attack		6.8%	6.1%
% 65+ with HIV/AIDS		0.00%	0.17%
% 65+ with hypertension		69.0%	67.1%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease		34.2%	35.3%
% 65+ with liver disease		9.7%	10.2%
% 65+ with lung cancer		1.6%	1.8%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache		5.7%	7.1%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis		50.1%	51.5%
% 65+ with osteoporosis		18.4%	15.7%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease		20.1%	15.3%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer		6.0%	6.8%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)	B	7.4%	10.3%
% 65+ with stroke		11.3%	10.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions		55.3%	55.5%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions		16.6%	12.4%
<b>BEHAVIORAL HEALTH</b>			
# drug overdose deaths (all ages) (County)		26	2,080
% 65+ with substance use disorder		8.5%	8.7%
% 18+ excessive drinking (County)		14.1%	NA
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder		13.8%	13.6%
% 18+ current smokers (County)		19.1%	NA
<b>MENTAL HEALTH</b>			
% 18+ with 14+ days poor mental health (County)		15.7%	NA
% 65+ with depression		30.6%	34.8%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder		26.4%	30.7%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder	W	5.2%	3.9%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder		3.0%	3.2%
<b>LIVING WITH DISABILITY</b>			
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty		26.3%	15.5%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty		4.6%	4.6%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty		16.4%	7.5%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty		20.6%	17.8%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty		9.0%	5.2%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty		13.2%	10.5%
<b>CAREGIVING</b>			
# of Alzheimer's support groups		0	11
% grandparents raising grandchildren		1.00%	0.55%

HEALTH OUTCOMES	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
-----------------	---	-----------------------	-------------------

**Community estimate**

State estimate

## ACCESS TO CARE

% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid	*	30.3%	18.7%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees	*	44.7%	50.3%
% 18-64 who lack health insurance (County)		8.9%	NA
# of primary care providers		0	2,199
# of hospitals		0	37
# of home health agencies		0	20
# of skilled nursing facilities		0	87
# of hospice agencies		0	14
# of community health centers		0	164
# of adult day health centers		0	33

SERVICE UTILIZATION	
1. How often do you use the service?	
2. How satisfied are you with the service?	
3. How likely are you to recommend the service to others?	
4. How much do you value the service?	
5. How often do you use the service?	
6. How satisfied are you with the service?	
7. How likely are you to recommend the service to others?	
8. How much do you value the service?	

# physician visits per year	*	2.3	4.7
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually		596.6	556.1
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually		51.9	49.8
# home health visits annually		1.2	1.7
# durable medical equipment claims annually		1.7	2.0
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually		122.9	169.8
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)		13.6%	14.3%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually		37.7	43.3
# skilled nursing home Medicare beds/1000 persons 65+ years		0.0	20.0
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports		2.7%	2.1%
% 65+ hospice users		2.5%	3.0%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents	*	33.0%	49.7%

**NOTES**

## TECHNICAL NOTES

\*For more information on data sources, measures, and methodology used in the 2025 Maine Healthy Aging Data Report see our technical documentation at ([healthyagingdatareports.org](https://healthyagingdatareports.org)). For most indicators, the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms “Better” and “Worse” to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are not due to chance. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed, we used a hierarchical approach to reporting.

### Data Sources:

- *Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey (ACS)) 2018-2022.*
- *Housing: ACS, 2018-2022; Maine Home Care Association (MEHCA), 2023.*
- *Cost of Living: Center for Social and Demographic Research on Aging at the University of Massachusetts Boston, 2023.*
- *Economic: ACS, 2018-2022.*
- *Wellness: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS)), 2020-2021.*
- *Community: AARP, 2023; ACS, 2018-2022; CDC WONDER, 2016-2020; The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (CMS), 2020-2021; NECHE, 2023; State of Maine, 2023; Maine State Library, 2023; Maine Secretary of State, 2023; OLLI, 2023; U.S. EPA Air Compare, 2023.*
- *Transportation: ACS, 2018-2022; AllTransit™, 2023; NHTSA, 2018-2022.*
- *Falls: CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Prevention: BRFSS, 2020-2021.*
- *Nutrition/Diet: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Oral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023.*
- *Chronic Disease: CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Behavioral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CDC WONDER 2016-2020; CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Mental Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Living with Disability: ACS, 2018-2022.*
- *Caregiving: ACS, 2018-2022; Alzheimer's Association, 2023.*
- *Access to Care: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023; Medicare.gov, 2023; Maine.gov, 2023.*
- *Service Utilization: CMS, 2020-2021.*

Healthy Aging Data Report Research Team (2025): Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Taylor Jansen PhD, Yan-Jhu Su, Yan Lin, Shan Qu, Tiffany Tang & Qian Song PhD, from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Point32Health Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

Suggested citation: Dugan E, Lee CM, Jansen T, Su YJ, Silverstein NM, & Song Q. (2025). The Maine 2025 Healthy Aging Data Report. Retrieved from [www.healthyagingdatareports.org](https://www.healthyagingdatareports.org)

Questions or Ideas? [Beth.dugan@umb.edu](mailto:Beth.dugan@umb.edu)



Point32Health  
Foundation

In partnership with



a Point32Health company