Dresden, Wiscasset (Lincoln)

The communities of Dresden and Wiscasset are located in Lincoln County. Collectively, these areas are home to 1,149 residents aged 65 and older. Compared to state average rates, older residents fare better on some healthy aging indicators with lower rates of hip fracture, anemia, benign prostatic hyperplasia, breast cancer, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, fibromyalgia/chronic pain/fatigue, osteoporosis, peripheral vascular disease, substance use disorder, anxiety disorder, PTSD, and schizophrenia. Older residents in Lincoln County varied in obtaining preventive health screenings: residents 18 and older got annual physical exams (76.4%) and annual dental exams (70%), while 53.5% of men and 41.5% of women 65 and older completed all CDC recommended vaccinations and cancer screenings. Community resources to support healthy aging include eight primary care providers, one senior center, and one public library.



POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
Total population (all ages)		5,587	1,366,949
Population 60 years or older as % of total population		31.0%	29.4%
Total population 60 years and older		1,731	402,170
Population 65 years or older as % of total population		20.6%	21.5%
Total population 65 years and older		1,149	293,488
% 65-74 years		65.5%	59.9%
% 75-84 years		29.2%	28.5%
% 85 years or older	*	5.3%	11.6%
% 65+ population who are female		49.3%	54.3%
% 85+ population who are female	*	8.2%	64.8%
Race and ethnicity of the population 65+			
% White		94.2%	96.6%
% African American		0.0%	0.3%
% Asian		4.2%	0.5%
% Other race(s)		1.7%	2.5%
% Hispanic		1.1%	0.7%
# 55+ who are Native American / Alaskan		0	1,794
Marital status of the population 65+			
% married		64.0%	57.0%
% divorced/separated		22.2%	16.9%
% widowed	*	10.3%	20.6%
% never married		3.6%	5.6%
Education of the population 65+			
% with less than high school education		2.9%	7.6%
% with high school or some college		47.8%	59.0%
% with college degree		21.1%	18.3%
% with graduate or professional degree	*	28.3%	15.1%
% 65+ population who speak only English at home		93.2%	92.7%
% 65+ population who are veterans of military service		25.0%	18.4%

HOUSING 23.3% 28.3% % 65+ population who live alone 2.3 2.3 2.3 Average household size (all ages) 2.3 2.3 2.3 Median house value (all ages) \$236,100 \$244,800 % 60+ homehomers who have mortgage 43.2% 40.6% % 65+ households (renter) spend >36% of income on housing 64.3% 35.2% % 65+ households (renter) spend >36% of income on housing 13.4% 20.2% % 65+ households (wing stes 0 2.7 1.8% *** grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2% 1.8% *** grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2% 1.8% *** practical with grandchildren 2.2% 1.8% *** Grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2 1.8% *** Grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2 2.8 *** Copt Jeal Live Jean 2.2 2.2 1.8% *** Copt Jeal Live Jean 2.2 2.2 2.2 *** Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) <	POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
Average household size (all ages) \$23. 42.3 Median house value (all ages) \$236.100 \$244.800 \$ 60+ own home \$ 96.4% 80.4% \$ 60+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 61.3% 35.2% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 12.2% 1.8% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 12.2% 1.8% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 1.2% 1.8% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 2.2 1.2% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 2.2 1.2% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 2.2 1.2% \$ 65+ households (criner) spend >35% of income on housing 2.2 2.2 \$ 65+ Income one with grandchildren 2.2 2.2 \$ 50CIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2	HOUSING			
Median house value (all ages) \$236,100 \$244,800 % 60+ own home * 96.4% 80.4% % 60+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 61.3% 35.2% % 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 61.3% 35.2% % 65+ households (conver) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% % 65+ households (conver) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% % 65+ households (with grade) 2.2% 1.8% COST OF LIVINE Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$27,624 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,702 Couple, enter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,702 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECOMOMIC 6 Ob receiving food stamps in past year 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0% 6.0%	% 65+ population who live alone		23.3%	28.3%
% 60+ own home 4 96.4% 80.4% % 60+ homeowners who have mortgage 42.2% 40.5% % 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% % 65+ households (winer) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% % grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2% 1.8% F of assisted living sites 0 21 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST of Living Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,302 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,303 Couple, renter, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,302 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,525 Single, nomeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,302 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,600 \$41,600 \$41,600 \$41,600	Average household size (all ages)		2.3	2.3
6-60+ homeowners who have mortgage 43.2% 40.6% 6-65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 64.3% 35.2% 6-65+ households (conver) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% 6-65+ households (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 12.4% 20.4% 6-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% 6-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% 6-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 20.2% 1.8% 6-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 20.2% 1.8% 6-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 20.2% 1.8% 8-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 20.2% 2.4 8-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 3.2% 2.4 8-67- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 3.0% 2.4 2.5 8-68- File (woner) spend >35% of income on housing 3.0% 3.4 2.5 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	Median house value (all ages)		\$236,100	\$244,800
% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 64.3% 35.2% % 65+ households (wnner) spend >35% of income on housing 11.4% 20.4% % 65 sh households (wnner) spend >35% of income on housing 12.2% 1.8% & grandparents who live with grandchildren 0 2 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH Correct LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,622 \$37,800 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, enterter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 Couple, enterter, good health (County) 0.98 \$41,556 \$41,556 Couple, enterter, good health (County) 0.6% 65+ more good (County) \$6,54 <t< td=""><td>% 60+ own home</td><td>*</td><td>96.4%</td><td>80.4%</td></t<>	% 60+ own home	*	96.4%	80.4%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing 13.4% 20.4% % grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2% 1.8% # of assisted living sites 0 21 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING Eicler Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$3,562 \$41,556 ECONOMIC ************************************	% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage		43.2%	40.6%
% grandparents who live with grandchildren 2.2% 1.8% # of assisted living sites 0 21 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, nemer, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, nomeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC *** *** \$60+60 \$41,556 ECONOMIC *** 60+ employed in past year 6.0% \$10.8% % 65+ with income below the powerty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% % 65+ with income below the powerty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% % 65+ with income below the powerty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 8.3% 17.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 8.3% 17.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$10,000+ 27.3%	% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing		64.3%	35.2%
# of assisted living sites 0 21 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC **CONOMIC** **66+ receiving food stamps in past year 6.0% 10.8% 66+ employed in past year 6.0% 66+ employed in past year 6.0% 66+ employed in past year 6.0% 66+ households with annual income social socia	% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing		13.4%	20.4%
SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC *** 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$8,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33,1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$9,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 27.3% NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with lari or poor self-reported health status (County) 11.5% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (Coun	% grandparents who live with grandchildren		2.2%	1.8%
COST OF LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,708 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECOMOMIC ************************************	# of assisted living sites		0	21
Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC ****COUNTY************************************	SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH			
Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.00 \$24,636 \$24,528 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,558 ECONOMIC **** \$6.0% \$10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 35.3% 18.8% % 65+ employed in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income <\$20,000	COST OF LIVING			
Single, renter, good health (County) 0.96 \$27,624 \$28,704 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,380 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC *** *** \$6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 * 8.3% 17.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 27.3% NA WILNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 11.5% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 11.5% NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities No 4 YA <	Elder Index			
Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 1.01 \$37,632 \$37,838 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC *** *** \$6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 ** 8.3% 17.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 27.3% NA WELLNESS WELLINESS 27.3% NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 11.5% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities	Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County)	1.00	\$24,636	\$24,528
Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.98 \$40,620 \$41,556 ECONOMIC *** % 65+ encliving food stamps in past year 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 5.0% 9.0% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 ** 8.3% 17.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 27.3% NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with lair or poor self-reported health status (County) 11.3% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities NO tyet Yes # of public universities and community colleges 0 42 # of osenior c	Single, renter, good health (County)	0.96	\$27,624	\$28,704
ECONOMIC % 60+ receiving food stamps in past year 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 35.3% 18.8% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$49,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 22.7% 20.4% WELLNESS Value of the with a power of the past of	Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County)	1.01	\$37,632	\$37,380
% 60+ receiving food stamps in past year 6.0% 10.8% % 65+ employed in past year 35.3% 18.8% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$69,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 * 8.3% 17.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 22.7% 20.4% WELLNESS Value Value Value % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 11.5% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) NA NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities NO NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities No 42 # of public libraries 1 26 # of senior centers	Couple, renter, good health (County)	0.98	\$40,620	\$41,556
% 65+ employed in past year 35.3% 18.8% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000	ECONOMIC			
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.0% 9.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000	% 60+ receiving food stamps in past year		6.0%	10.8%
Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$68,907 \$49,828 % 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000	% 65+ employed in past year		35.3%	18.8%
% 65+ households with annual income <\$20,000	% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year		6.0%	9.0%
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 22.1% 33.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 22.7% 20.4% WELLNESS WELLNESS NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ with out leisure-time physical activity (County) 25.9% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 14.3% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 11.5% NA COMMUNITY NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Yes # of public universities and community colleges 0 42 # of public libraries 1 261 # of senior centers 1 27 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 1 % households with a smartphone (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households without a computer (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households with a cess to Broadband (all ages) 87.3% 87.3%	Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+		\$68,907	\$49,828
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 46.8% 29.4% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 22.7% 20.4% WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County) 25.9% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 14.3% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 11.5% NA COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Yes # of public libraries 0 42 # of public libraries 1 261 # of senior centers 1 27 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 1 % households with a smartphone (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households without a computer (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.3% 87.3%	% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000	*	8.3%	17.1%
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 22.7% 20.4% WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County) 25.9% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 14.3% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 11.5% NA COMMUNITY NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Yes # of public universities and community colleges 0 42 # of public libraries 1 261 # of senior centers 1 27 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 1 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 81.6% 82.5% % households without a computer (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households without a computer (all ages) 7.2% 7.1% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.2% 87.3%	% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999		22.1%	33.1%
WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 27.3% NA % 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County) 25.9% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 14.3% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 11.5% NA COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) NA NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Yes # of public universities and community colleges 0 42 # of public libraries 1 261 # of senior centers 1 27 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 1 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 81.6% 82.5% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households without a computer (all ages) 7.2% 7.1% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.3%	% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999		46.8%	29.4%
% 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County)27.3%NA% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)25.9%NA% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)14.3%NA% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)11.5%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)NANAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetYes# of public universities and community colleges042# of senior centers1261# of Senior centers127# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households without a computer (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households without a computer (all ages)7.2%7.1%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.3%87.3%	% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+		22.7%	20.4%
% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)25.9%NA% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)14.3%NA% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)11.5%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)NANAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetYes# of public universities and community colleges042# of senior centers1261# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households without a computer (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.2%87.3%	WELLNESS			
% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)14.3%NA% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)11.5%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)NANAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetYes# of public universities and community colleges042# of public libraries1261# of senior centers127# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households without a computer (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.2%87.3%	% 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County)		27.3%	NA
% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)11.5%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)NANAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetYes# of public universities and community colleges042# of public libraries1261# of senior centers127# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households without a computer (all ages)7.2%7.1%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.3%87.3%	% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)		25.9%	NA
Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) AARP Age-Friendly Communities # of public universities and community colleges # of public libraries 1 261 # of Senior centers 1 27 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.2% 87.3%	% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)		14.3%	NA
Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) AARP Age-Friendly Communities # of public universities and community colleges # of public libraries # of senior centers # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.2% 87.3%	% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)		11.5%	NA
AARP Age-Friendly Communities # of public universities and community colleges # of public libraries # of senior centers # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.3%	COMMUNITY			
# of public universities and community colleges042# of public libraries1261# of senior centers127# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households without a computer (all ages)7.2%7.1%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.2%87.3%	Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)		NA	NA
# of public libraries1261# of senior centers127# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households without a computer (all ages)7.2%7.1%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.2%87.3%	AARP Age-Friendly Communities		Not yet	Yes
# of senior centers127# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)01% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households without a computer (all ages)7.2%7.1%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.2%87.3%	# of public universities and community colleges		0	42
# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households without a computer (all ages) * 7.2% 7.1% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.3%	# of public libraries		1	261
% households with a smartphone (all ages)81.6%82.5%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)*2.1%6.4%% households without a computer (all ages)7.2%7.1%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)87.2%87.3%	# of senior centers		1	27
% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) * 2.1% 6.4% % households without a computer (all ages) 7.2% 7.1% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.2% 87.3%	# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)		0	1
% households without a computer (all ages) 7.2% 7.1% households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.2% 87.3%	% households with a smartphone (all ages)		81.6%	82.5%
% households with access to Broadband (all ages) 87.2% 87.3%	% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)	*	2.1%	6.4%
	% households without a computer (all ages)		7.2%	7.1%
% households without access to the Internet (all ages) 12.7% 12.3%	% households with access to Broadband (all ages)		87.2%	87.3%
	% households without access to the Internet (all ages)		12.7%	12.3%

SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
COMMUNITY			
Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)		73.6%	74.1%
Homicide rate/100,000 persons (County)		0.0	1.5
# firearm fatalities (all ages) (County)		22	770
# 65+ deaths by suicide (County)		13	290
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate	В	2.7%	4.2%
TRANSPORTATION			
% householders 65+ who own a motor vehicle	*	95.7%	91.0%
# fatal crashes involving adult age 60+ (County)		12	251
AllTransit Score		0.00	0.51
HEALTH OUTCOMES			
FALLS			
% 65+ with hip fracture	В	2.1%	3.1%
PREVENTION			
% 18+ with physical exam/check-up in past year (County)		76.4%	NA
% mammography use among women age 50-74 Years (County)		68.9%	NA
% 50-75 with fecal occult blood test, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy (County)		78.4%	NA
% 65+ men up to date on preventive services (County)		53.5%	NA
% 65+ women up to date on preventive services (County)		41.5%	NA
NUTRITION & DIET			
% 18+ with obesity (County)		27.5%	NA
% 65+ with high cholesterol		63.8%	69.5%
% 18+ with cholesterol screening (County)		63.8%	NA
ORAL HEALTH			
% 18+ with annual dental exam (County)		70.0%	NA
# dentists per 100,000 persons (all ages) (County)		25.1	47.2
% 65+ with complete tooth loss (County)		12.6%	NA
CHRONIC DISEASE		12.070	
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias		8.8%	11.0%
% 65+ with anemia	В	28.1%	38.1%
% 65+ with asthma		11.0%	11.8%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation		13.0%	14.3%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)	В	25.4%	35.2%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)	В	5.9%	9.6%
% 65+ with cataract		63.7%	60.4%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease	В	23.7%	29.4%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	В	15.7%	20.9%
% 65+ with colon cancer		2.0%	2.1%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure		15.0%	18.1%
% 65+ with diabetes	В	19.8%	26.2%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)		2.1%	2.2%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain, and fatigue	В	23.2%	34.8%
% 65+ with glaucoma		20.1%	23.3%
70 001 Willi glaucottia		20.1/0	23.3 /0

HEALTH OUTCOMES	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
CHRONIC DISEASE	State rate		
% 65+ ever had a heart attack		4.6%	6.1%
% 65+ with HIV/AIDS		0.23%	0.17%
% 65+ with hypertension		66.1%	67.1%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease		31.0%	35.3%
% 65+ with liver disease		7.1%	10.2%
% 65+ with lung cancer		1.7%	1.8%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache		6.6%	7.1%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis		49.0%	51.5%
% 65+ with osteoporosis	В	11.1%	15.7%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease	В	10.9%	15.3%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer		4.5%	6.8%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)		11.1%	10.3%
% 65+ with stroke		8.2%	10.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions		50.2%	55.5%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions		12.3%	12.4%
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH			
# drug overdose deaths (all ages) (County)		50	2,080
% 65+ with substance use disorder	В	4.7%	8.7%
% 18+ excessive drinking (County)		14.6%	NA
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder		10.9%	13.6%
% 18+ current smokers (County)		15.0%	NA
MENTAL HEALTH			
% 18+ with 14+ days poor mental health (County)		13.3%	NA
% 65+ with depression		32.4%	34.8%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder	В	25.1%	30.7%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder	В	2.9%	3.9%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder	В	1.7%	3.2%
LIVING WITH DISABILITY			
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty		20.8%	15.5%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty		2.5%	4.6%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty		2.4%	7.5%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty		12.8%	17.8%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty		1.9%	5.2%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty		5.8%	10.5%
CAREGIVING			
# of Alzheimer's support groups		0	11
% grandparents raising grandchildren		1.38%	0.55%

HEALTH OUTCOMES	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
ACCESS TO CARE			
% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid	*	10.5%	18.7%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees		51.6%	50.3%
% 18-64 who lack health insurance (County)		7.2%	NA
# of primary care providers		8	2,199
# of hospitals		0	37
# of home health agencies		0	20
# of skilled nursing facilities		0	87
# of hospice agencies		0	14
# of community health centers		0	164
# of adult day health centers		0	33
SERVICE UTILIZATION			
# physician visits per year		4.3	4.7
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually		466.5	556.1
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually	*	38.9	49.8
# home health visits annually		1.6	1.7
# durable medical equipment claims annually	*	1.3	2.0
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually		142.1	169.8
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)		18.4%	14.3%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually	*	25.5	43.3
# skilled nursing home Medicare beds/1000 persons 65+ years		0.0	20.0
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports	*	1.2%	2.1%
% 65+ hospice users	*	2.0%	3.0%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents		54.7%	49.7%
NOTES			

TECHNICAL NOTES

*For more information on data sources, measures, and methodology used in the 2025 Maine Healthy Aging Data Report see our technical documentation at (healthyagingdatareports.org). For most indicators, the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms "Better" and "Worse" to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are not due to chance. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed, we used a hierarchical approach to reporting.

Data Sources:

- Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey (ACS)) 2018-2022.
- Housing: ACS, 2018-2022; Maine Home Care Association (MEHCA), 2023.
- Cost of Living: Center for Social and Demographic Research on Aging at the University of Massachusetts Boston, 2023.
- Economic: ACS, 2018-2022.
- Wellness: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS)), 2020-2021.
- Community: AARP, 2023; ACS, 2018-2022; CDC WONDER, 2016-2020; The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (CMS), 2020-2021; NECHE, 2023; State of Maine, 2023; Maine State Library, 2023; Maine Secretary of State, 2023; OLLI, 2023; U.S. EPA Air Compare, 2023.
- Transportation: ACS, 2018-2022; AllTransit™, 2023; NHTSA, 2018-2022.
- Falls: CMS, 2020-2021.
- Prevention: BRFSS, 2020-2021.
- Nutrition/Diet: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.
- Oral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023.
- Chronic Disease: CMS, 2020-2021.
- Behavioral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CDC WONDER 2016-2020; CMS, 2020-2021.
- Mental Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.
- Living with Disability: ACS, 2018-2022.
- Caregiving: ACS, 2018-2022; Alzheimer's Association, 2023.
- Access to Care: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023; Medicare.gov, 2023; Maine.gov, 2023.
- Service Utilization: CMS, 2020-2021.

Healthy Aging Data Report Research Team (2025): Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Taylor Jansen PhD, Yan-Jhu Su, Yan Lin, Shan Qu, Tiffany Tang & Qian Song PhD, from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Point32Health Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

<u>Suggested citation:</u> Dugan E, Lee CM, Jansen T, Su YJ, Silverstein NM, & Song Q. (2025). The Maine 2025 Healthy Aging Data Report. Retrieved from <u>www.healthyagingdatareports.org</u>

Questions or Ideas? Beth.dugan@umb.edu





In partnership with



a Point32Health company