


## Jackson (Carroll)

Jackson is a town in Carroll County with 277 residents aged 65 or older. Compared to state average rates, older residents fare better on some healthy aging indicators with lower rates of Alzheimer's disease or related dementias, anemia, chronic kidney disease, diabetes, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, liver disease, lung cancer, peripheral vascular disease, pressure ulcer, stroke, tobacco use disorder, depression, anxiety disorder, and schizophrenia. However, they have higher rates of glaucoma and osteoarthritis/rheumatoid arthritis. Older residents in Carroll County vary in obtaining preventive health screenings: residents 18 and older got annual physical exams (74.6%) and annual dental exams (66.3%), while 53.8% of men and 40.9% of women 65 and older completed all CDC recommended vaccinations and cancer screenings. A community resource to support healthy aging is their public library. Jackson is a designated AARP Age-Friendly Community.



POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
Total population (all ages)		1,149	1,379,610
Population 60 years or older as % of total population		42.0%	26.7%
Total population 60 years and older		483	368,151
Population 65 years or older as % of total population		24.1%	19.0%
Total population 65 years and older		277	261,749
% 65-74 years		59.6%	60.7%
% 75-84 years		30.7%	28.0%
% 85 years or older		9.7%	11.3%
% 65+ population who are female		40.8%	53.9%
% 85+ population who are female		70.4%	64.0%
Race and ethnicity of the population 65+			
% White		100.0%	95.7%
% African American		0.0%	0.6%
% Asian		0.0%	1.3%
% Other race(s)		0.0%	2.3%
% Hispanic		0.0%	1.1%
# 55+ who are Native American / Alaskan		0	549
Marital status of the population 65+			
% married		77.6%	58.5%
% divorced/separated		9.4%	16.5%
% widowed	*	4.0%	20.0%
% never married		9.0%	5.1%
Education of the population 65+			
% with less than high school education		0.7%	8.1%
% with high school or some college		44.4%	56.3%
% with college degree		30.7%	19.6%
% with graduate or professional degree		24.2%	16.0%
% 65+ population who speak only English at home		100.0%	93.4%
% 65+ population who are veterans of military service		17.3%	18.0%

## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
<b>HOUSING</b>			
% 65+ population who live alone	*	9.4%	25.5%
Average household size (all ages)		2.3	2.5
Median house value (all ages)	*	\$501,500	\$337,100
% 60+ own home	*	90.9%	80.2%
% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage		39.1%	42.8%
% 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing		0.0%	44.1%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing		16.1%	25.0%
% grandparents who live with grandchildren	*	0.0%	2.5%
# of assisted living sites		0	24

## SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH

### COST OF LIVING

#### Elder Index

Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County)	0.96	\$29,568	\$30,948
Single, renter, good health (County)	0.94	\$30,804	\$32,784
Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County)	0.98	\$44,400	\$45,336
Couple, renter, good health (County)	0.97	\$45,636	\$47,172

### ECONOMIC

% 60+ receiving food stamps in past year		0.0%	5.0%
% 65+ employed in past year		18.4%	21.5%
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year		8.3%	7.0%
Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+		\$107,847	\$60,844
% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000		8.5%	13.2%
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999		15.8%	28.1%
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999		24.3%	30.7%
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+		51.4%	28.0%

### WELLNESS

% 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County)		29.6%	NA
% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)		20.5%	NA
% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)		13.9%	NA
% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)		10.9%	NA

### COMMUNITY

Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)		NA	NA
AARP Age-Friendly Communities		Yes	Not yet
# of public universities and community colleges		0	20
# of public libraries		1	221
# of senior centers		0	50
# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)		0	2
% households with a smartphone (all ages)		93.2%	86.8%
% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)		9.8%	5.2%
% households without a computer (all ages)		3.6%	5.0%
% households with access to Broadband (all ages)		83.6%	91.0%
% households without access to the Internet (all ages)		16.4%	8.8%
Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)		75.5%	72.5%

## SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH

	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
<b>COMMUNITY</b>			
Homicide rate/100,000 persons (County)		NA	1.6
# firearm fatalities (all ages) (County)		31	717
# 65+ deaths by suicide (County)		NA	232
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate		3.5%	3.9%
<b>TRANSPORTATION</b>			
% householders 65+ who own a motor vehicle		100.0%	92.6%
# fatal crashes involving adult age 60+ (County)		12	177
AllTransit Score		NA	0.58
<b>HEALTH OUTCOMES</b>			
<b>FALLS</b>			
% 65+ with hip fracture		2.9%	2.8%
<b>PREVENTION</b>			
% 18+ with physical exam/check-up in past year (County)		74.6%	NA
% mammography use among women age 50-74 Years (County)		71.7%	NA
% 50-75 with fecal occult blood test, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy (County)		74.8%	NA
% 65+ men up to date on preventive services (County)		53.8%	NA
% 65+ women up to date on preventive services (County)		40.9%	NA
<b>NUTRITION &amp; DIET</b>			
% 18+ with obesity (County)		29.2%	NA
% 65+ with high cholesterol		68.8%	72.0%
% 18+ with cholesterol screening (County)		88.6%	NA
<b>ORAL HEALTH</b>			
% 18+ with annual dental exam (County)		66.3%	NA
# dentists per 100,000 persons (all ages) (County)		36.9	55.0
% 65+ with complete tooth loss (County)		8.4%	NA
<b>CHRONIC DISEASE</b>			
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias	B	7.6%	10.7%
% 65+ with anemia	B	29.3%	35.0%
% 65+ with asthma		10.3%	11.4%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation		15.0%	14.0%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)		37.5%	36.9%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)		11.9%	10.2%
% 65+ with cataract		61.3%	60.3%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease	B	20.7%	28.0%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease		15.2%	17.4%
% 65+ with colon cancer		1.6%	2.0%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure		14.6%	16.7%
% 65+ with diabetes	B	17.9%	25.2%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)		1.9%	2.0%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain, and fatigue		35.5%	32.9%
% 65+ with glaucoma	W	29.2%	22.6%
% 65+ ever had a heart attack		4.1%	4.6%
% 65+ with HIV/AIDS		0.09%	0.10%

## HEALTH OUTCOMES

	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
<b>CHRONIC DISEASE</b>			
% 65+ with hypertension	B	63.7%	67.3%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease	B	28.0%	32.9%
% 65+ with liver disease	B	5.6%	10.1%
% 65+ with lung cancer	B	0.9%	1.6%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache		6.4%	6.9%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis	W	59.5%	53.2%
% 65+ with osteoporosis		14.2%	16.3%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease	B	9.4%	13.6%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer	B	4.5%	6.2%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)		14.6%	11.6%
% 65+ with stroke	B	8.0%	10.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions	B	48.8%	53.9%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions		9.9%	10.2%
<b>BEHAVIORAL HEALTH</b>			
# drug overdose deaths (all ages) (County)		70	2,268
% 65+ with substance use disorder		7.6%	7.6%
% 18+ excessive drinking (County)		13.1%	NA
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder	B	7.0%	11.7%
% 18+ current smokers (County)		12.7%	NA
<b>MENTAL HEALTH</b>			
% 18+ with 14+ days poor mental health (County)		12.7%	NA
% 65+ with depression	B	24.3%	30.5%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder	B	22.7%	28.0%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder		2.7%	2.3%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder	B	2.1%	2.8%
<b>LIVING WITH DISABILITY</b>			
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty		9.4%	13.8%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty		2.9%	4.8%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty		2.9%	6.5%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty	*	2.9%	16.7%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty		0.0%	5.3%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty		2.9%	10.1%
<b>CAREGIVING</b>			
# of Alzheimer's support groups		0	5
% grandparents raising grandchildren		0.00%	0.69%

# HEALTH OUTCOMES

Significantly  
different than  
state rate

Community  
estimate

State  
estimate

## ACCESS TO CARE

% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid	*	3.1%	6.6%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees	*	23.8%	26.5%
% 18-64 who lack health insurance (County)		6.6%	NA
# of primary care providers		0	1,852
# of hospitals		0	28
# of home health agencies		0	27
# of skilled nursing facilities		0	73
# of hospice agencies		0	20
# of community health centers		0	68
# of adult day health centers		0	12

## SERVICE UTILIZATION

# physician visits per year	*	3.7	6.1
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually		492.5	507.9
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually	*	42.5	49.1
# home health visits annually	*	1.1	1.9
# durable medical equipment claims annually	*	1.3	1.9
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually	*	134.5	187.5
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)	*	10.6%	16.2%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually	*	28.5	45.0
# skilled nursing home Medicare beds/1000 persons 65+ years		0.0	26.1
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports		2.6%	2.7%
% 65+ hospice users	*	1.9%	2.7%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents	*	35.7%	47.1%

## NOTES

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## TECHNICAL NOTES

\*For more information on data sources, measures, and methodology used in the 2025 New Hampshire Healthy Aging Data Report see our technical documentation at ([healthyagingdatareports.org](http://healthyagingdatareports.org)). For most indicators, the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms “Better” and “Worse” to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are not due to chance. We balance two goals. First, we aim to report data at very local levels because we believe change is often locally driven. Second, we vowed to protect the privacy of the people providing the information reported. Thus, given the constraints of the data analyzed, we used a hierarchical approach to reporting.

### Data Sources:

- *Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey (ACS)) 2018-2022.*
- *Housing: ACS, 2018-2022; New Hampshire Home Care Association (NHHCA), 2023.*
- *Cost of Living: Center for Social and Demographic Research on Aging at the University of Massachusetts Boston, 2023.*
- *Economic: ACS, 2018-2022.*
- *Wellness: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS)), 2020-2021.*
- *Community: AARP, 2023; ACS, 2018-2022; CDC WONDER, 2016-2020; The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (CMS), 2020-2021; NECHE, 2023; New Hampshire ServiceLink, 2023; NH Library Directory, 2023; NH Secretary of State, 2023; OLLI, 2023; U.S. EPA Air Compare, 2023.*
- *Transportation: ACS, 2018-2022; AllTransit™, 2023; NHTSA, 2018-2022.*
- *Falls: CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Prevention: BRFSS, 2020-2021.*
- *Nutrition/Diet: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Oral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023.*
- *Chronic Disease: CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Behavioral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CDC WONDER 2016-2020; CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Mental Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.*
- *Living with Disability: ACS, 2018-2022.*
- *Caregiving: ACS, 2018-2022; Alzheimer’s Association, 2023.*
- *Access to Care: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023; Medicare.gov, 2023; State of NH, 2023.*
- *Service Utilization: CMS, 2020-2021.*

Healthy Aging Data Report Research Team (2025): Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Taylor Jansen PhD, Yan-Jhu Su, Yan Lin, Shan Qu, Tiffany Tang & Qian Song PhD, from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Point32Health Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

Suggested citation: Dugan E, Lee CM, Jansen T, Su YJ, Silverstein NM, & Song Q. (2025). The New Hampshire 2025 Healthy Aging Data Report. Retrieved from [www.healthyagingdatareports.org](http://www.healthyagingdatareports.org)

Questions or Ideas? [Beth.dugan@umb.edu](mailto:Beth.dugan@umb.edu)



Point32Health  
Foundation

In partnership with



a Point32Health company