Marlow (Cheshire)

Marlow is a town in Cheshire County with 198 residents aged 65 or older. Compared to state average rates, older residents fare better on some healthy aging indicators with lower rates of anemia, atrial fibrillation, benign prostatic hyperplasia, cataract, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), congestive heart failure (CHF), diabetes, glaucoma, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, liver disease, migraine, osteoarthritis/rheumatoid arthritis, osteoporosis, peripheral vascular disease, pressure ulcer, prostate cancer, stroke, anxiety disorder, and high cholesterol. Older residents in Cheshire County vary in obtaining preventive health screenings; residents 18 and older got annual physical exams (66.3%) and annual dental exams (65.5%), while 50.1% of men and 41.5% of women 65 and older completed all CDC recommended vaccinations and cancer screenings. A community resource to support healthy aging is their public library.



POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
Total population (all ages)		803	1,379,610
Population 60 years or older as % of total population		36.0%	26.7%
Total population 60 years and older		289	368,151
Population 65 years or older as % of total population		24.7%	19.0%
Total population 65 years and older		198	261,749
% 65-74 years		71.7%	60.7%
% 75-84 years	*	19.2%	28.0%
% 85 years or older		9.1%	11.3%
% 65+ population who are female		50.5%	53.9%
% 85+ population who are female		38.9%	64.0%
Race and ethnicity of the population 65+			
% White		100.0%	95.7%
% African American		0.0%	0.6%
% Asian		0.0%	1.3%
% Other race(s)		0.0%	2.3%
% Hispanic		1.5%	1.1%
# 55+ who are Native American / Alaskan		0	549
Marital status of the population 65+			
% married		81.3%	58.5%
% divorced/separated		4.0%	16.5%
% widowed		13.6%	20.0%
% never married		1.0%	5.1%
Education of the population 65+			
% with less than high school education		4.0%	8.1%
% with high school or some college		62.6%	56.3%
% with college degree		15.7%	19.6%
% with graduate or professional degree		17.7%	16.0%
% 65+ population who speak only English at home		96.5%	93.4%
% 65+ population who are veterans of military service		19.2%	18.0%
Marlow (Cheshire)			Page 1

HOUSING 1 14.6% 25.5% % 65+ population who live alone 1 14.6% 25.5 2.5 Mcerage household size (all ages) 2.5 2.5 2.5 McGlan house value (all ages) 3 \$25,800 \$33,710 \$32,700 \$60+ own home 9 39.9% 80.2% \$62,800 \$30,710 \$60+ homeowners who have mortgage 2 \$2.6% \$42,80% \$65+ households (center) spend >35% of income on housing 0 \$2.26% \$45.0% \$65+ households (cowner) spend >35% of income on housing 1 \$3.3 \$25.0% \$65+ households (cowner) spend >35% of income on housing 1 \$3.3 \$25.0% \$65+ households (cowner) spend >35% of income on housing 1 \$3.3 \$25.0% \$2	POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
Median house value (all ages) 2.5 2.5	HOUSING			
Median house value (all ages) * \$252,800 \$33,710 % 60+ hown home • \$93,9% 802,8% 42,8% 60+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing • 0.0% 44.1% % 65+ households (conver) spend >35% of income on housing • 0.13,3% 25.0% % 65+ households (conver) spend >35% of income on housing • 0.2% 20.2% # of assisted living sites 0 2.3 COCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH Colspan="2">Early INGE Eider Index \$30,228 \$30,248 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,228 \$30,948 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336	% 65+ population who live alone	*	14.6%	25.5%
Median induser value (largies) \$25,00 \$0,2% 6 60+ own home • 93,3% 80,2% 6 65+ housewholds (renter) spend > 35% of income on housing • 22,26% 42,8% 6 65+ households (cowner) spend > 35% of income on housing • 13,3% 25,00 8 65+ households (cowner) spend > 35% of income on housing • 1,9% 2,5% 8 65+ households (cowner) spend > 35% of income on housing • 1,9% 2,5% 8 65+ households (cowner) spend > 35% of income on housing • 1,9% 2,5% 8 67 collaborate • 0 2 2 Collaborate • 0 2 2 Collaborate • 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 9 4 3 9 4 3 9 4 3 9 4 3 9 4 3 9 4 3 9 4 3	Average household size (all ages)		2.5	2.5
8 60+ Nomeowners who have mortgage \$2,0% 40,2% % 65+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 0.0% 44,1% % 65+ households (conner) spend >35% of income on housing 10,0% 25,0% % 65+ households (conner) spend >35% of income on housing 11,3% 25,0% g randparents who live with grandchildren 1.9% 2.5% # of assisted living sites 0 2 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVINS Eigle, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,228 \$30,948 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,068 \$45,336 Couple, nemeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,068 \$45,712 ECONOMIC Economic 1 7.07 % 65+ encly receiving food stamps in past year 0.0% 5.0% & 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 0.1 7.0% & 65+ musholds with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20,5% 28,1% & 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$9,999 20,5%	Median house value (all ages)	*	\$252,800	\$337,100
66-6+ households (renter) spend >35% of income on housing 2.0% 44.1% 66-6+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing 13.3% 25.0% % grandparents who live with grandchildren 1.9% 2.5% 4 of assisted living sites 0 2.4 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVINE Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30.228 \$30.948 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$45.336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$45.336 Couple, nenter, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$45.336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$45.336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$45.336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$41.72 ECONOMIC Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45.636 \$41.72 ECONOMIC <th< td=""><td>% 60+ own home</td><td>*</td><td>93.9%</td><td>80.2%</td></th<>	% 60+ own home	*	93.9%	80.2%
% 65+ households (owner) spend >35% of income on housing 13.3% 25.0% % grandparents who live with grandchildren 1.9% 2.5% # of assisted living sites 0 2 SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,248 \$30,948 Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,636 \$47,372 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,636 \$47,172 ECONOMIC 0.99 \$45,636 \$47,172 ECONOMIC 0.0% 5.0% % 65+ employed in past year 0.0% 5.0% % 65+ employed in past year 12.6% 21.5% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.5% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 29.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 </td <td>% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage</td> <td>*</td> <td>22.6%</td> <td>42.8%</td>	% 60+ homeowners who have mortgage	*	22.6%	42.8%
% Grandparents who live with grandchildren 1.9% 2.5% % grandparents who live with grandchildren 1.9% 2.5% SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING Eider Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,228 \$30,948 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.97 \$45,636 \$47,172 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 5.0% \$65+ with hin anula income \$50,000 \$67,000 \$67,000				
# of assisted living sites 0 24 ***SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH** ***COST OF LIVING**	` , , ,	*		
SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH COST OF LIVING				
COST OF LIVING Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,228 \$30,948 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.94 \$30,804 \$32,784 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,606 \$45,336 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.97 \$45,636 \$47,172 ECOMOMIC ************************************	# of assisted living sites		0	24
Elder Index Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,228 \$30,248 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.94 \$30,804 \$32,784 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, eneter, good health (County) 0.97 \$45,636 \$47,172 ECONOMIC ************************************	SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH			
Single, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.98 \$30,228 \$30,948 Single, renter, good health (County) 0.94 \$30,804 \$32,784 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.97 \$45,636 \$47,172 ECONOMIC ************************************	COST OF LIVING			
Single, renter, good health (County) 0.94 \$30,804 \$32,784 Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,660 \$45,336 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.97 \$45,636 \$47,72 ECONOMIC ************************************				
Couple, homeowner without mortgage, good health (County) 0.99 \$45,060 \$45,336 Couple, renter, good health (County) 0.97 \$45,636 \$47,172 ECONOMIC Seconomic Seconomic Seconomic % 65+ employed in past year 0.0% 5.0% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$77,143 \$60,844 % 65+ households with annual income <\$20,000 8.0% 13.2% 6 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$49,999 20.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS 24.0% 29.5% 30.7% % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ with ut leisure-time physical activity (County) 22.4% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet øf of public libraries 1 221 # of sen				
Couple, renter, good health (County) 45,636 \$47,172 ECONOMIC ************************************			•	
ECONOMIC Concepting food stamps in past year 0.0% 5.0% 665+ employed in past year 12.6% 21.5% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% 665+ households with annual income < \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.1% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS With less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA 6 18+ with part leisure-time physical activity (County) 22.4% NA 6 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 10.7% NA 6 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet of public universities and community colleges 0 20			•	
% 60+ receiving food stamps in past year 0.0% 5.0% % 65+ employed in past year 12.6% 21.5% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$77,143 \$60,844 % 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS WELLNESS Very County 33.6% NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of osenior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2		0.97	\$45,636	\$47,172
% 65+ employed in past year 12.6% 21.5% % 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$77,143 \$60.844 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% 6 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.1% 6 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% 6 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS VELINESS VELINES % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA § 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 22.4% NA § 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of of senior centers 0 20 # of of senior centers 0 2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
% 65+ with income below the poverty line in past year 6.1% 7.0% Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$77,143 \$60,844 % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS WI 42.0% 28.0% Well with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ with lesis than 7 pour self-reported health status (County) 22.4% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of senior centers 0 2 # of Senior centers 0 6 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 # households with only a smartphone				
Median annual income for households with a householder age 65+ \$77,143 \$60,844 % 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000 8.0% 13.2% % 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS WELLNESS NA % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA K 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of senior centers 0 20 # of other Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households without a computer (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households without access to Broadban				
% 65+ households with annual income < \$20,000				
% 65+ households with annual income \$20,000-\$49,999 20.5% 28.1% % 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ with out leisure-time physical activity (County) 22.4% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) 6.4% 5.2% % households without a computer (all ages) 88.9% 91.0% % households without access to Broadband (all ages) 88.9%				
% 65+ households with annual income \$50,000-\$99,999 29.5% 30.7% % 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County) 22.4% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of public libraries 1 221 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) 6.4% 5.2% % households without a computer (all ages) 88.9% 91.0% % households without access to Broadband (all ages) 88.9% 91.0%				
% 65+ households with annual income \$100,000+ 42.0% 28.0% WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ with out leisure-time physical activity (County) 22.4% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY Total Communities Not yet Not yet AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of public libraries 1 221 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) 6.4% 5.2% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 88.9% 91.0% % households without access to the Internet (all ages) 10.5% 8.8% Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.5% 72.5%				
WELLNESS % 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County) 33.6% NA % 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County) 22.4% NA % 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County) 13.6% NA % 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County) 10.7% NA COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of public libraries 1 221 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) 6.4% 5.2% % households without a computer (all ages) 3.8% 5.0% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 88.9% 91.0% % households without access to the Internet (all ages) 10.5% 8.8% Voter participation rate in 2020 election				
% 18+ with less than 7 hours sleep (County)33.6%NA% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)22.4%NA% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)13.6%NA% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)10.7%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)1NAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetNot yet# of public universities and community colleges020# of senior centers1221# of Senior centers050# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)02% households with a smartphone (all ages)85.0%86.8%% households without a computer (all ages)3.8%5.0%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)88.9%91.0%% households without access to the Internet (all ages)10.5%8.8%Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)72.0%72.5%			42.0%	28.0%
% 18+ without leisure-time physical activity (County)22.4%NA% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)13.6%NA% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)10.7%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)1NAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetNot yet# of public universities and community colleges020# of senior centers1221# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)02% households with a smartphone (all ages)85.0%86.8%% households without a computer (all ages)3.8%5.0%% households without a computer (all ages)88.9%91.0%% households without access to Broadband (all ages)88.9%91.0%% households without access to the Internet (all ages)10.5%8.8%Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)72.0%72.5%			22.22/	
% 18+ with fair or poor self-reported health status (County)13.6%NA% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)10.7%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)1NAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetNot yet# of public universities and community colleges020# of senior centers1221# of senior centers050# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)02% households with a smartphone (all ages)85.0%86.8%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)6.4%5.2%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)3.8%5.0%% households without access to the Internet (all ages)88.9%91.0%% households without access to the Internet (all ages)10.5%8.8%Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)72.0%72.5%				
% 18+ with 14+ physically unhealthy days (County)10.7%NACOMMUNITYAnnual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County)1NAAARP Age-Friendly CommunitiesNot yetNot yet# of public universities and community colleges020# of public libraries1221# of senior centers050# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI)02% households with a smartphone (all ages)85.0%86.8%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)6.4%5.2%% households without a computer (all ages)3.8%5.0%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)88.9%91.0%% households without access to the Internet (all ages)10.5%8.8%Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)72.0%72.5%	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
COMMUNITY Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) 1 NA AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of public libraries 1 221 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) 6.4% 5.2% % households without a computer (all ages) 3.8% 5.0% % households without access to Broadband (all ages) 88.9% 91.0% % households without access to the Internet (all ages) 10.5% 8.8% Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.0% 72.5%				
Annual # unhealthy days due to air pollution for 65+ (County) AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of public libraries 1 221 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages) % households mithout access to the Internet (all ages)			10.7%	NA
AARP Age-Friendly Communities Not yet # of public universities and community colleges 0 20 # of public libraries 1 221 # of senior centers 0 50 # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) 0 2 % households with a smartphone (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) 85.0% 86.8% % households without a computer (all ages) 3.8% 5.0% % households with access to Broadband (all ages) 88.9% 91.0% % households without access to the Internet (all ages) 10.5% 8.8% Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.0% 72.5%			1	NΔ
# of public universities and community colleges # of public libraries # of senior centers # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
# of public libraries # of senior centers # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) # households with a smartphone (all ages) # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) # of Senior centers # of Senior				
# of senior centers # of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % total participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.0%				
# of Osher Lifelong Learning Institutes (OLLI) % households with a smartphone (all ages) % households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % toter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
% households with a smartphone (all ages)85.0%86.8%% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages)6.4%5.2%% households without a computer (all ages)3.8%5.0%% households with access to Broadband (all ages)88.9%91.0%% households without access to the Internet (all ages)10.5%8.8%Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+)72.0%72.5%				
% households with only a smartphone to access the Internet (all ages) % households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 6.4% 5.2% 88.9% 91.0% 72.5%				86.8%
% households without a computer (all ages) % households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 3.8% 5.0% 88.9% 91.0% 72.5%	<u> </u>			
% households with access to Broadband (all ages) % households without access to the Internet (all ages) Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 88.9% 91.0% 8.8% 72.0% 72.5%				
% households without access to the Internet (all ages) Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.0% 8.8%				
Voter participation rate in 2020 election (age 18+) 72.0% 72.5%				
	` •			
				Page 2

SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
COMMUNITY			
Homicide rate/100,000 persons (County)		2.6	1.6
# firearm fatalities (all ages) (County)		53	717
# 65+ deaths by suicide (County)		15	232
Age-sex adjusted 1-year mortality rate		2.8%	3.9%
TRANSPORTATION			
% householders 65+ who own a motor vehicle		98.2%	92.6%
# fatal crashes involving adult age 60+ (County)		12	177
AllTransit Score		NA	0.58
HEALTH OUTCOMES			
FALLS			
% 65+ with hip fracture		2.6%	2.8%
PREVENTION			
% 18+ with physical exam/check-up in past year (County)		66.3%	NA
% mammography use among women age 50-74 Years (County)		71.7%	NA
% 50-75 with fecal occult blood test, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy (County))	74.4%	NA
% 65+ men up to date on preventive services (County)		50.1%	NA
% 65+ women up to date on preventive services (County)		41.5%	NA
NUTRITION & DIET			
% 18+ with obesity (County)		31.3%	NA
% 65+ with high cholesterol	В	54.1%	72.0%
% 18+ with cholesterol screening (County)		84.6%	NA
ORAL HEALTH			
% 18+ with annual dental exam (County)		65.5%	NA
# dentists per 100,000 persons (all ages) (County)		54.3	55.0
% 65+ with complete tooth loss (County)		10.2%	NA
CHRONIC DISEASE			
% 65+ with Alzheimer's disease or related dementias		12.6%	10.7%
% 65+ with anemia	В	27.8%	35.0%
% 65+ with asthma		9.6%	11.4%
% 65+ with atrial fibrillation	В	9.9%	14.0%
% 65+ with benign prostatic hyperplasia (men)	В	31.9%	36.9%
% 65+ with breast cancer (women)		9.4%	10.2%
% 65+ with cataract	В	52.7%	60.3%
% 65+ with chronic kidney disease	В	20.6%	28.0%
% 65+ with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	В	12.8%	17.4%
% 65+ with colon cancer		2.1%	2.0%
% 65+ with congestive heart failure	В	12.3%	16.7%
% 65+ with diabetes	В	18.9%	25.2%
% 65+ with endometrial cancer (women)		2.7%	2.0%
% 65+ with fibromyalgia, chronic pain, and fatigue		33.0%	32.9%
% 65+ with glaucoma	В	17.6%	22.6%
% 65+ ever had a heart attack		4.0%	4.6%
% 65+ with HIV/AIDS		0.09%	0.10%
Marlow (Cheshire)			Page 3

HEALTH OUTCOMES	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
CHRONIC DISEASE			
% 65+ with hypertension	В	57.6%	67.3%
% 65+ with ischemic heart disease	В	26.5%	32.9%
% 65+ with liver disease	В	6.9%	10.1%
% 65+ with lung cancer		1.2%	1.6%
% 65+ with migraine and other chronic headache	В	5.5%	6.9%
% 65+ with osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis	В	45.5%	53.2%
% 65+ with osteoporosis	В	11.8%	16.3%
% 65+ with peripheral vascular disease	В	9.4%	13.6%
% 65+ with pressure ulcer or chronic ulcer	В	4.2%	6.2%
% 65+ with prostate cancer (men)	В	8.5%	11.6%
% 65+ with stroke	В	7.1%	10.0%
% 65+ with 4+ (out of 15) chronic conditions	В	40.4%	53.9%
% 65+ with 0 chronic conditions	В	17.3%	10.2%
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH			
# drug overdose deaths (all ages) (County)		118	2,268
% 65+ with substance use disorder		6.9%	7.6%
% 18+ excessive drinking (County)		15.6%	N/
% 65+ with tobacco use disorder		12.4%	11.7%
% 18+ current smokers (County)		13.7%	N/
MENTAL HEALTH			
% 18+ with 14+ days poor mental health (County)		14.8%	N.A
% 65+ with depression		27.5%	30.5%
% 65+ with anxiety disorder	В	23.7%	28.0%
% 65+ with post-traumatic stress disorder		2.1%	2.3%
% 65+ with schizophrenia & other psychotic disorder		2.2%	2.8%
LIVING WITH DISABILITY			
% 65+ with self-reported hearing difficulty	*	2.5%	13.8%
% 65+ with self-reported vision difficulty		11.6%	4.8%
% 65+ with self-reported cognition difficulty		2.5%	6.5%
% 65+ with self-reported ambulatory difficulty		30.3%	16.7%
% 65+ with self-reported self-care difficulty		2.5%	5.3%
% 65+ with self-reported independent living difficulty		6.1%	10.1%
CAREGIVING			
		0	5

Marlow (Cheshire) Page 4

HEALTH OUTCOMES	Significantly different than state rate	Community estimate	State estimate
ACCESS TO CARE			
% 65+ dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid		5.5%	6.6%
% 65+ Medicare managed care enrollees		26.8%	26.5%
% 18-64 who lack health insurance (County)		7.8%	NA
# of primary care providers		0	1,852
# of hospitals		0	28
# of home health agencies		0	27
# of skilled nursing facilities		0	73
# of hospice agencies		0	20
# of community health centers		0	68
# of adult day health centers		0	12
SERVICE UTILIZATION			
# physician visits per year	*	4.0	6.1
# emergency room visits/1000 persons 65+ years annually	*	361.3	507.9
# Part D monthly prescription fills per person annually	*	41.3	49.1
# home health visits annually	*	0.9	1.9
# durable medical equipment claims annually	*	1.3	1.9
# inpatient hospital stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually	*	135.0	187.5
% Medicare inpatient hospital readmissions (as % of admissions)		13.0%	16.2%
# skilled nursing facility stays/1000 persons 65+ years annually	*	25.6	45.0
# skilled nursing home Medicare beds/1000 persons 65+ years		0.0	26.1
% 65+ getting Medicaid long term services and supports		2.9%	2.7%
% 65+ hospice users	*	1.9%	2.7%
% 65+ hospice users as % of decedents	*	29.6%	47.1%
NOTES			

Marlow (Cheshire) Page 5

TECHNICAL NOTES

*For more information on data sources, measures, and methodology used in the 2025 New Hampshire Healthy Aging Data Report see our technical documentation at (healthyagingdatareports.org). For most indicators, the community and state values are estimates derived from sample data. Thus, it is possible that some of the differences between state and community estimates may be due to chance associated with population sampling. We use the terms "Better" and "Worse" to highlight differences between community and state estimates that we are confident are <a href="https://no.com/no.co

Data Sources:

- Population Characteristics: The U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey (ACS)) 2018-2022.
- Housing: ACS, 2018-2022; New Hampshire Home Care Association (NHHCA), 2023.
- Cost of Living: Center for Social and Demographic Research on Aging at the University of Massachusetts Boston, 2023.
- Economic: ACS, 2018-2022.
- Wellness: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS)), 2020-2021.
- Community: AARP, 2023; ACS, 2018-2022; CDC WONDER, 2016-2020; The CMS Master Beneficiary Summary File ABCD/Other (CMS), 2020-2021; NECHE, 2023; New Hampshire ServiceLink, 2023; NH Library Directory, 2023; NH Secretary of State, 2023; OLLI, 2023; U.S. EPA Air Compare, 2023.
- Transportation: ACS, 2018-2022; AllTransit™, 2023; NHTSA, 2018-2022.
- Falls: CMS, 2020-2021.
- Prevention: BRFSS, 2020-2021.
- Nutrition/Diet: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.
- Oral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023.
- Chronic Disease: CMS, 2020-2021.
- Behavioral Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CDC WONDER 2016-2020; CMS, 2020-2021.
- Mental Health: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021.
- Living with Disability: ACS, 2018-2022.
- Caregiving: ACS, 2018-2022; Alzheimer's Association, 2023.
- Access to Care: BRFSS, 2020-2021; CMS, 2020-2021; HRSA, 2023; Medicare.gov, 2023; State of NH, 2023.
- Service Utilization: CMS, 2020-2021.

Healthy Aging Data Report Research Team (2025): Beth Dugan PhD, Nina Silverstein PhD, Chae Man Lee PhD, Taylor Jansen PhD, Yan-Jhu Su, Yan Lin, Shan Qu, Tiffany Tang & Qian Song PhD, from the Gerontology Institute at the University of Massachusetts Boston. The Point32Health Foundation supported the research and provided important guidance.

<u>Suggested citation:</u> Dugan E, Lee CM, Jansen T, Su YJ, Silverstein NM, & Song Q. (2025). The New Hampshire 2025 Healthy Aging Data Report. Retrieved from <u>www.healthyagingdatareports.org</u>

Questions or Ideas? Beth.dugan@umb.edu





In partnership with



a Point32Health company

Marlow (Cheshire) Page 6